

DUMPS ARENA

Computer Hacking Forensic Investigator
(CHFIv11)

ECCouncil 312-49v11

Version Demo

Total Demo Questions: 46

Total Premium Questions: 467

Buy Premium PDF

<https://dumpsarena.co>

sales@dumpsarena.co

sales@dumpsarena.co
dumpsarena.co

Topic Break Down

Topic	No. of Questions
Topic 1, Computer Forensics in Today's World	36
Topic 2, Computer Forensics Investigation Process	51
Topic 3, Understanding Hard Disks and File Systems	29
Topic 4, Data Acquisition and Duplication	45
Topic 5, Defeating Anti-Forensics Techniques	20
Topic 6, Windows Forensics	51
Topic 7, Linux and Mac Forensics	27
Topic 8, Network Forensics	48
Topic 9, Investigating Web Application Attacks	27
Topic 10, Dark Web Forensics	17
Topic 11, Database Forensics	1
Topic 12, Cloud Forensics	25
Topic 13, Investigating Email Crimes	16
Topic 14, Malware Forensics	37
Topic 15, Mobile Forensics	29
Topic 16, IoT Forensics	7
Topic 17, Mix Questions	1
Total	467

QUESTION NO: 1

Rachel, a forensic investigator, is examining a network-attached storage (NAS) device to recover files from a shared storage system used by a company. She needs to understand how files are being accessed and shared across different users. Which of the following file-sharing protocols should Rachel examine to understand how the files are accessed in this environment?

- A. SMTP
- B. iSCSI
- C. RAID
- D. SMB/CIFS

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

SMB/CIFS is the appropriate protocol to examine because it is a network file-sharing protocol commonly used by Windows systems and NAS appliances to provide shared folders to multiple users. It operates at the file-service level: clients connect to a named share and perform actions such as listing directories, creating, reading, modifying, renaming, deleting, and locking files. Consequently, SMB-related configuration and forensic artifacts can help establish which shares were available, the accounts and systems that accessed them, applicable permissions, and potentially the timing and nature of file activity. This is directly relevant when investigating how users accessed and shared files stored on a NAS device. Modern implementations generally use SMB, while CIFS refers to an earlier SMB dialect and is often used together with SMB in product documentation and examination contexts. Microsoft describes SMB as a network file-sharing protocol that enables applications to read and write files and request services from server programs on a network. The Samba project likewise documents SMB as the protocol used for file and print services across networked systems. See [Microsoft's SMB overview](#) and the [Samba SMB documentation](#).

QUESTION NO: 2

During a multinational fraud investigation, forensic analysts are asked to determine where evidence stored in Microsoft Azure can legally reside. The organization's Azure environment includes multiple region pairs designed for redundancy and compliance, each operating under the same market-level policies for data residency. Which Azure component best represents this configuration?

- A. Non-regional Service
- B. Availability Zone
- C. Region
- D. Geography

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

Geography is correct because an Azure geography is the top-level geographic and compliance boundary used to address data residency, sovereignty, and regulatory requirements. A geography contains one or more Azure regions that generally share market-level characteristics and data-residency commitments. In an investigation, this distinction is important: identifying the relevant geography helps analysts and legal teams establish the jurisdictions in which customer data and associated forensic evidence may be stored or processed. Azure region pairs are normally selected within the same geography, supporting disaster recovery and platform-update practices while preserving the applicable residency boundary. Therefore, when several paired regions operate under a common set of residency and compliance policies, the configuration is represented by an Azure geography rather than an individual datacenter location. Microsoft describes Azure geographies as discrete markets that typically contain at least one or more regions and preserve data residency and compliance boundaries. Its region-pair guidance also states that every Azure region is paired with another region within the same geography, with limited exceptions. See [Azure regions, geographies, and availability zones](#) and [Azure region pairs and cross-region replication](#).

QUESTION NO: 3

During a targeted phishing follow-up at a financial firm in New York, forensic analysts parse a compromised endpoint 's raw Event Log File Format records to validate a timeline. They need to differentiate per-event timestamps from overall file-level status flags to see whether late writes occurred around shutdown. In this format, which component provides the per-event timestamps needed for that comparison?

- A. EVENTLOGRECORD structure
- B. ELF_LOGFILE_HEADER_WRAP
- C. ELF_LOGFILE_HEADER structure

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

EVENTLOGRECORD structure is correct because it represents an individual legacy Windows event-log entry and contains the timestamps that describe that specific event. In particular, the structure includes `TimeGenerated`, the time at which the event was generated, and `TimeWritten`, the time at which the Event Log service wrote the event to the log. Comparing these two values at record level lets a forensic analyst identify a delay between event occurrence and persistence, which is particularly useful when validating activity near service shutdown, system shutdown, or suspected late log writes.

This distinction is important in raw-log analysis: a timeline must be built from the metadata attached to each individual event rather than from metadata describing the log as a whole. The event record also contains the event identifier, source name, computer name, and variable-length insertion data, allowing the timestamp comparison to be tied to the precise logged activity. Microsoft documents the fields and layout of `EVENTLOGRECORD` in its Windows API reference: [EVENTLOGRECORD structure](#). Microsoft's event logging overview also describes the role of event records in Windows logging: [Event Logging](#).

QUESTION NO: 4

Emily, a seasoned digital forensics investigator, has been tasked with conducting an investigation on a Linux system running the ext2 file system. The system was involved in a suspected data exfiltration incident, and Emily needs to gather detailed information about the metadata of a specific file that may have been accessed or modified during the attack. After reviewing the system 's file system structure, Emily aims to focus on the source that contains the file's metadata, such as timestamps, permissions, and file size. Which of the following would be the best source for this critical information?

- A. The file 's data blocks
- B. The dentry cache
- C. The superblock
- D. The inode table

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

The inode table is the best source because ext2 stores each file's core metadata in an inode. An inode contains attributes essential to a forensic timeline and file-activity assessment, including file type and permissions (mode), owner and group identifiers, file size, link count, and timestamps such as access, modification, and metadata-change time. It also contains pointers to the disk blocks that hold the file's content. Directory entries primarily associate a filename with an inode number; the investigator then uses that inode number to locate the relevant inode-table record and recover the file's metadata. This distinction is particularly important in ext2 examinations because names and directory relationships are separate from the underlying metadata record. Reviewing the relevant inode can therefore help Emily determine when the file was accessed or altered, identify its ownership and permissions at the recorded state, establish its logical size, and locate its allocated content blocks for further analysis. The ext2 on-disk specification describes the inode as the structure holding file metadata and block pointers, while Linux inode documentation explains its role as the filesystem object representing file metadata. See the [ext2 filesystem documentation](#) and the [Linux kernel VFS documentation](#).

QUESTION NO: 5

During a cybercrime investigation, the forensic team has seized a large number of devices as part of the evidence collection process. After securing all the devices, the team begins evaluating which exhibits to prioritize for analysis first. The team maintains detailed records of both analyzed and non-analyzed exhibits, ensuring that they can track the progress of the investigation and reference any exhibits that were not immediately analyzed.

Which ENFSI best practice is being followed by the team?

- A. The team conducts an initial case evaluation to assess the case's requirements.
- B. The team performs a scene assessment to handle evidence at the crime scene.
- C. The team carries out a laboratory assessment to document artifacts.
- D. The team executes the acquisition of data to extract data from the seized devices.

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

The team carries out a laboratory assessment to document artifacts. This is the applicable ENFSI practice because the scenario occurs after devices have been seized and secured, when the laboratory must assess the submitted exhibits and establish a structured examination strategy. A laboratory assessment supports informed prioritization: investigators identify the exhibits most likely to contain relevant evidence, account for available resources and timescales, and determine the order in which examinations should proceed. This is particularly important when a case contains many devices and full examination of every item cannot begin simultaneously.

Documenting both examined and unexamined exhibits is an essential part of this assessment and subsequent case management. It creates an auditable record showing what was received, what work has been performed, the status of each exhibit, and which items remain available for later examination. Such records support continuity, repeatability, transparency, and the ability to explain the scope and progress of the forensic work in court. ENFSI's Digital Imaging Working Group publishes best-practice material for forensic examination of digital technology, including the need for controlled examination processes and appropriate documentation. See the [ENFSI Best Practice Manual for the Forensic Examination of Digital Technology](#) and ENFSI's [Digital Imaging Working Group](#).

QUESTION NO: 6

During a botnet takedown case in Los Angeles, California, an ISP's abuse desk keeps receiving legal complaints about malicious traffic traced to an IP that belongs to Tor infrastructure. Investigators explain that, although the traffic did not originate there, this Tor component is the one seen by destination servers as the source and therefore attracts most abuse complaints and shutdown demands. Which Tor component are they referring to?

- A. Middle Relay
- B. Entry Guard Relay
- C. Exit Relay
- D. Bridge Node

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

Exit Relay is correct because it is the final relay in a standard Tor circuit and establishes the connection from the Tor network to the requested public Internet destination. Consequently, a destination website, service, or server records the exit relay's public IP address as the apparent source of the connection rather than the Tor user's actual address. This externally visible role means that abuse reports, legal complaints, block-list entries, and takedown requests concerning traffic that leaves Tor are commonly directed at the operator or ISP hosting the exit relay. For forensic investigators, this distinction is crucial: an exit-relay IP in a server log establishes that traffic emerged from that relay, but it does not by itself identify the original user or prove that the relay operator generated the traffic. Tor Project documentation specifically notes that

destination services see the IP address of the exit relay, and that exit operators can receive complaints about activity associated with traffic exiting their nodes. This is the Tor component described by the scenario. See the [Tor Project explanation of how Tor works](#) and its [relay-type documentation](#).

QUESTION NO: 7

During an investigation of a high-profile cybercrime case, a law enforcement agency realized the need for specialized computer forensic investigators. Their general forensic investigators were struggling with the specific demands of computer forensics. Although they considered hiring external forensic investigators, they decided against it due to budget constraints. What could be a potential solution to this predicament?

- A. Training their current investigators in computer forensics.
- B. Outsourcing the investigations to a private firm.
- C. Investing in advanced forensic tools to assist their current investigators.
- D. Collaborating with international law enforcement agencies for assistance.

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

Training their current investigators in computer forensics is the appropriate solution because it develops the agency's internal capability while directly addressing the identified skills gap and budget constraint. Digital-forensic work requires specialized competence beyond general forensic practice: personnel must be able to preserve volatile and nonvolatile digital evidence, maintain a defensible chain of custody, acquire data without altering it, validate forensic tools, document procedures, analyze artifacts, and present technically sound findings. Structured training enables existing investigators to apply these principles consistently in the current case and in future investigations, rather than treating specialized expertise as a one-time external service.

This approach also supports long-term forensic readiness. An agency with trained personnel can establish repeatable procedures, improve coordination between investigators and technical staff, and respond more quickly when computers, mobile devices, cloud accounts, or network evidence are involved. The U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology describes digital forensics as the use of scientifically derived and proven methods for preservation, collection, validation, identification, analysis, interpretation, documentation, and presentation of digital evidence; these are competencies investigators need to develop through focused education and practice. See [NIST SP 800-86](#) and the [EC-Council CHFI program overview](#).

QUESTION NO: 8

During a preliminary scan at a financial services firm in New York City, a suspicious binary exhibits unusually high entropy and yields almost no readable strings, suggesting concealment tactics that evade basic signatures without execution. To uncover these evasion layers in the file's structure prior to any runtime testing, which static analysis technique should the team prioritize to reveal the transformation methods applied to the sample?

- A. Local and online malware scanning
- B. File fingerprinting
- C. Performing strings search
- D. Identifying packing or obfuscation methods

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

Identifying packing or obfuscation methods is the appropriate priority because unusually high entropy combined with few or no readable strings is a strong static indicator that executable content has been compressed, encrypted, packed, or

otherwise transformed to conceal its original code and data. The immediate analytical objective is to characterize that transformation: for example, by inspecting Portable Executable headers and sections, checking section names, sizes, permissions, import-table irregularities, entry-point placement, and signatures or artifacts associated with known packers. This establishes whether the binary requires unpacking or decoding before meaningful code, strings, imports, and embedded configuration can be examined. It also helps the examiner select suitable tools and safely plan later controlled execution, if needed. Static inspection of packing is especially valuable before runtime testing because it documents the sample's on-disk structure and identifies the defensive layer that is preventing conventional signature and string-based inspection. MITRE ATT&CK describes software packing as a technique used to reduce visibility into malware contents and complicate analysis: [T1027.002 — Software Packing](#). Practical Portable Executable structure and section analysis can also be supported by the Microsoft PE/COFF specification: [PE Format](#).

QUESTION NO: 9

During a forensic investigation, an investigator opens a file using a hex editor and examines the binary data. While analyzing the content, the investigator observes the presence of both " 00 " and " FF " byte values spread across different sections of the file. These byte sequences appear repeatedly, filling large areas of the file. What might these values signify in the context of file analysis?

- A. Data corruption, suggesting the file may be damaged or incomplete.
- B. File padding or unused data, often used to ensure the file reaches a required size or alignment.
- C. File compression, indicating the presence of compressed data or blocks of repeated patterns.
- D. Encrypted data, where these byte values represent encoded content that can only be decrypted with the proper key.

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

File padding or unused data, often used to ensure the file reaches a required size or alignment, is the appropriate interpretation. In hexadecimal analysis, extended runs of 00 bytes commonly represent null-filled padding, reserved fields, unallocated portions of a file structure, or initialization values. Similarly, repeated FF bytes can be used as fill data, particularly in contexts involving erased flash memory, reserved areas, or format-specific padding. File formats and storage structures often require data elements to begin at particular byte boundaries or fixed offsets; filler bytes preserve those layout requirements when meaningful content does not occupy the entire allocated region.

A forensic examiner should interpret these patterns together with the file format's documented structure, the byte offsets where they occur, and any relevant metadata. For example, bytes following the logical end of embedded content but before the next required structure may be ordinary padding, whereas a repeated pattern inside a defined data field could warrant further examination. Hex editors are valuable because they allow the examiner to compare raw byte sequences against known file signatures, headers, field lengths, and expected offsets. The National Institute of Standards and Technology's guidance on forensic methods emphasizes preserving and examining data in a manner that supports reliable interpretation; see [NIST SP 800-86](#). File-signature and format-aware inspection principles are also summarized by [the Library of Congress format descriptions](#).

QUESTION NO: 10

The cybersecurity team of a leading software company is investigating an intricate network of infected systems in their infrastructure. Their research leads to a single file suspected to be the root cause of the infection. The malware in question is thought to be a novel one, and no prior information about it is available. What would be the most viable initial step to understanding its potential capabilities and mode of operation?

- A. Code Analysis
- B. Behavioral Analysis
- C. Static Analysis
- D. Signature Analysis

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

Static Analysis is the most viable initial step because it enables a forensic examiner to safely triage and characterize a suspected malware file without running it. For a novel sample with no available prior intelligence, the investigation should first establish fundamental facts about the artifact: its true file type, hashes, size, headers, compilation metadata, imported libraries and functions, readable strings, embedded resources, entropy, and evidence of packing or obfuscation. These findings can reveal likely functionality and provide concrete indicators of compromise, such as domains, IP addresses, paths, registry locations, mutex names, or command-line fragments.

This non-execution approach is especially appropriate at the outset because the file may be destructive, evasive, or capable of spreading if mishandled. Static examination also guides the next forensic actions by helping the analyst determine whether unpacking, disassembly, sandbox execution, memory analysis, or enterprise-wide indicator hunting is warranted. NIST describes malware analysis as examining suspicious code to identify its characteristics and behavior, while preserving evidence and managing execution risk. The [NIST Guide to Malware Incident Prevention and Handling](#) and CISA's [malware guidance](#) support careful analysis and indicator collection as core incident-response practices.

QUESTION NO: 11

A seasoned forensic investigator is working on a case involving an advanced persistent threat (APT) that affected a multinational corporation. The complexity of the attack, involving multiple intrusion points and techniques, requires sophisticated analysis. However, the investigator struggles with the volume of unstructured log data, as it impedes his ability to identify the origin of the attack. In this scenario, what part of the forensic readiness planning did the corporation overlook?

- A. The necessity to have regular audits of network security.
- B. The importance of keeping log data structured and readily accessible.
- C. The need for advanced forensic tools to handle APTs.
- D. The requirement for a larger team of forensic investigators.

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

The importance of keeping log data structured and readily accessible is correct because forensic readiness is fundamentally about preparing an organization to collect, preserve, correlate, and use potential digital evidence efficiently when an incident occurs. In a complex APT investigation, investigators need to reconstruct a timeline and correlate activity across endpoints, servers, identity systems, network devices, and cloud services. High-volume logs that are inconsistently formatted, poorly indexed, or difficult to retrieve substantially delay that work and can prevent reliable identification of the initial access point and attacker movement.

A sound readiness plan defines centralized logging, consistent time synchronization, suitable retention periods, normalized fields, protected storage, and a process for making logs searchable by authorized responders. Structured, accessible records support event correlation and timeline analysis while also helping preserve evidentiary integrity. NIST's incident-handling guidance specifically identifies logging and collecting evidence as key preparation activities, and its log-management guidance emphasizes the operational need to generate, store, analyze, and dispose of logs effectively. These measures ensure that records are usable evidence rather than an unmanageable collection of raw data after a serious intrusion. See [NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2](#) and [NIST SP 800-92](#).

QUESTION NO: 12

Roberto, a certified CHFI professional, is faced with a complex case. A suspected cybercriminal group has been apprehended in a sting operation. Roberto's job is to investigate the seized digital evidence, which includes several encrypted hard drives. He must not only decrypt the drives but also ensure that his methods comply with the Federal Rules of Evidence and the best evidence rule. Any mishandling could lead to the evidence being discarded in court. Given the encrypted nature of the drives, what would be the best approach for Roberto to undertake this daunting task?

- A. Force-crack the encryption of the hard drives and extract the data

- B. Connect the drives to the network to use cloud-based decryption tools
- C. Make bit-by-bit copies of the encrypted drives and work on the copies, leaving the originals untouched
- D. Format the drives and use data recovery tools to extract the encrypted data

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

Make bit-by-bit copies of the encrypted drives and work on the copies, leaving the originals untouched. This is the sound forensic approach because the encrypted state of a drive is itself evidence: its sectors, partition information, file-system artifacts, metadata, and potentially recoverable key material must be preserved exactly as seized. Roberto should document seizure and handling, use appropriate write-blocking controls where applicable, acquire a complete forensic image, and verify the image with cryptographic hash values. The verified forensic duplicate can then be used for password recovery, decryption attempts, file-system examination, and other analysis without risking alteration of the original media.

Preserving the original while conducting examination on verified copies supports evidentiary integrity and repeatability. It enables another qualified examiner to validate the acquisition and reproduce the analysis from the same preserved source, while maintaining a documented chain of custody. This practice is consistent with the best-evidence principle's concern for reliable presentation of original evidence and with established digital-evidence guidance. The National Institute of Standards and Technology describes forensic preservation and acquisition practices in [NIST SP 800-86, Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response](#). The U.S. courts' [Federal Rule of Evidence 1002](#) states the general requirement for an original to prove the content of a writing, recording, or photograph, subject to the rules governing duplicates and exceptions.

QUESTION NO: 13

Following a post-breach investigation at a manufacturing company in Denver, Colorado, forensic analysts begin capturing and examining live network traffic between internal and external hosts. The objective is to analyze communication patterns, detect unauthorized activity, and determine the attacker's methods. What activity falls outside the primary objectives of network traffic investigation?

- A. To trace information or packets related to a security intrusion and collect them as evidence
- B. To erase the traces of intrusion by clearing captured packets from network devices
- C. To detect and examine an ongoing attack by monitoring network traffic communication patterns
- D. To identify hosts or networks involved in a network security incident

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

To erase the traces of intrusion by clearing captured packets from network devices falls outside—and directly conflicts with—the objectives of network traffic investigation. Network forensics involves collecting, preserving, examining, and correlating traffic data to reconstruct events, identify involved systems, understand communications, and develop reliable evidence of malicious activity. Captured packets, flow records, logs, and related metadata may establish critical facts such as source and destination addresses, protocol use, timestamps, transferred content, command-and-control activity, and lateral movement. An investigator must protect this material from alteration or loss and document its handling so that its integrity and evidentiary value can be supported. Clearing packet captures would destroy potentially relevant evidence, undermine the ability to determine the attacker's methods, and prevent later validation of investigative findings. Preservation should occur before any containment or remediation actions that could overwrite, delete, or otherwise change available network evidence. NIST's incident-handling guidance emphasizes collecting and retaining incident data for analysis and evidence, while its forensic guidance stresses maintaining the integrity of collected data throughout the process. See [NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2, Computer Security Incident Handling Guide](#) and [NIST SP 800-86, Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response](#).

QUESTION NO: 14

A digital forensics examiner is investigating a suspected case of corporate espionage involving the theft of sensitive intellectual property from a company ' s servers. In adherence to ENFSI Best Practices for Forensic Examination of Digital Technology,

what would be the examiner ' s primary concern?

- A. Complying with GDPR data privacy rules.
- B. Following ISO/IEC 17025 standards in forensic labs.
- C. Establishing secure evidence-handling protocols.
- D. Implementing ISO/IEC 27001 for information security.

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

Establishing secure evidence-handling protocols is the primary concern because ENFSI digital-forensics best practices are centered on preserving the integrity, authenticity, traceability, and reliability of digital evidence throughout an examination. In a corporate-espionage investigation, the examiner must ensure that server data is acquired in a manner that avoids unintended alteration, that original evidence is preserved, and that each handling, transfer, storage action, and analytical activity is documented. A clear chain of custody and use of repeatable, validated procedures allow the examiner to demonstrate what was collected, how it was protected, and how the resulting findings can be reproduced or independently reviewed. Secure handling also includes appropriate evidence storage, access controls, integrity verification such as cryptographic hashes, and complete contemporaneous notes. These controls make the forensic result defensible if it is later used in internal disciplinary action, civil litigation, or criminal proceedings. ENFSI's Best Practice Manuals provide practitioner-focused guidance intended to support consistent and quality-assured forensic work, including the examination of digital technology. See the [ENFSI Best Practice Manuals](#) and ENFSI's [organizational information](#).

QUESTION NO: 15

During a digital forensics investigation, an investigator is tasked with collecting data from servers and shared drives within an organization ' s infrastructure. The investigator accesses and retrieves relevant electronic evidence from these central storage locations to assist in the investigation. This data collection includes files, user logs, and other system artifacts necessary for understanding the scope of the incident. Which eDiscovery collection methodology is the investigator employing in this scenario?

- A. The investigator uses network collection to gather data directly from internal repositories and organizational data hubs across the network.
- B. The investigator uses cloud-based collection to retrieve data from cloud storage and platforms.
- C. The investigator uses email collection to extract relevant communications and attachments from email systems.
- D. The investigator uses mobile device collection to retrieve data from smartphones, tablets, or other mobile devices.

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

The investigator is employing **network collection**. This methodology acquires electronically stored information from centrally managed resources reachable through an organization's network, including file servers, shared drives, storage systems, and other internal repositories. The scenario specifically describes retrieving files, user logs, and system artifacts from servers and shared drives, which are typical network-accessible evidence sources in an enterprise environment.

Network collection allows an investigator to identify relevant custodial or shared data, collect it using authorized remote access, and preserve appropriate evidence records without necessarily taking physical possession of each system. In a forensic workflow, the investigator should use approved, repeatable collection procedures; record source locations, times, accounts, tools, and hashes where applicable; and maintain chain-of-custody documentation. These measures support the integrity, authenticity, and later review of the acquired evidence.

NIST's guidance on integrating forensic techniques into incident response recognizes collecting relevant information from networked systems and emphasizes preserving evidence and documenting actions throughout the process. The Electronic Discovery Reference Model also identifies collection as the stage in which potentially relevant electronically stored information is gathered and preserved. See [NIST SP 800-86](#) and the [EDRM Model](#).

QUESTION NO: 16

During a ransomware triage in a Microsoft Azure environment, forensic analysts are instructed to preserve evidence from a compromised azure-ubuntu virtual machine by creating a snapshot of its OS disk through the Azure portal. Which of the following sequences accurately completes this task?

- A.** Create a snapshot of the OS disk of the suspect VM, copy the snapshot to a storage account under a different resource group, delete the snapshot from the source resource group, and create a backup copy, then mount the snapshot onto the forensic workstation
- B.** Install Azure CLI on a remote forensic workstation, run `az login`, execute the `az vm show` command with `storageProfile.osDisk.name` to view the source disk ID, then run the `az snapshot create` command with the required parameters
- C.** Locate the azure-ubuntu OS disk from the Production-group and click on it, click on Create Snapshot, click on Review plus Create, then click on Create
- D.** Stop the azure-ubuntu VM, locate the azure-ubuntu OS disk from the Production-group and click on it, click on Create Snapshot, on the Create Snapshot page give a desired name for the OS snapshot, select the snapshot type as Full, select a storage type, then click on Review plus Create and Create

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

Stop the azure-ubuntu VM, locate the azure-ubuntu OS disk from the Production-group and click on it, click on Create Snapshot, on the Create Snapshot page give a desired name for the OS snapshot, select the snapshot type as Full, select a storage type, then click on Review plus Create and Create is correct because it follows the Azure portal workflow for making a managed-disk snapshot while minimizing further changes to the compromised system. The OS disk is the relevant evidence source because it contains the virtual machine's operating system, installed software, configuration, and potentially ransomware artifacts. Selecting *Full* produces a complete point-in-time snapshot resource from the source managed disk; Azure also supports incremental snapshots, but a full snapshot is the straightforward preservation choice for this acquisition task. Azure requires the analyst to provide a target resource group, snapshot name, region, and an appropriate snapshot SKU/storage type before validation and deployment. After the snapshot is created, it can be retained as the preserved source and used to create a separate managed disk for controlled forensic examination. Stopping the VM before acquisition is a prudent triage measure because it reduces ongoing writes and helps preserve a more stable evidentiary state. Microsoft documents the portal-based creation process for snapshots from managed disks and the available snapshot types at [Azure managed-disk snapshots](#) and [incremental snapshots](#).

QUESTION NO: 17

In a financial institution ' s computer forensic investigation, suspicious activity reveals unauthorized access to GLBA (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act)-protected customer data, raising concerns for customer safety. However, identifying the breach ' s source and extent poses significant challenges, complicating compliance with GLBA guidelines.

What steps should be taken in a GLBA-covered computer forensic investigation when unauthorized access to sensitive customer data is discovered?

- A.** Ignore the incident if it does not directly threaten financial activities.
- B.** Share information with third parties for analysis.
- C.** Inform law enforcement without notifying affected customers.
- D.** Notify affected customers of opt-out rights and safeguard data.

E. Contain the incident, preserve forensic evidence, determine the scope and impact, safeguard the information, and provide required customer and regulatory notifications.

ANSWER: E

Explanation:

“Contain the incident, preserve forensic evidence, determine the scope and impact, safeguard the information, and provide required customer and regulatory notifications” is correct because a GLBA-covered institution must have an incident-response process capable of addressing unauthorized access to customer information. In a forensic context, the immediate priority is to stop further unauthorized exposure while preserving volatile and persistent evidence—such as logs, affected endpoints, account activity, and relevant system images—so the source, timeline, affected records, and likelihood of misuse can be reliably determined. The institution must then remediate the security weakness and protect customer information against further unauthorized access or use.

The GLBA Safeguards Rule requires a written information-security program that includes procedures for responding to and recovering from security events. Customer notification should be made when required by applicable law and regulatory guidance, particularly where misuse of sensitive customer information has occurred or is reasonably possible. The institution may also have duties to notify its primary federal regulator, law enforcement, state authorities, or other parties depending on the facts and governing requirements. This approach supports both defensible forensic handling and GLBA compliance. See the FTC’s [Safeguards Rule guidance](#) and the federal banking agencies’ [Interagency Guidance on Response Programs](#).

QUESTION NO: 18

In a complex cybersecurity landscape, analysts strategically deploy **Kippo honeypots**, leveraging these deceptive systems to entice and ensnare potential attackers. These sophisticated decoys are meticulously designed to mimic genuine network assets, creating an illusion of vulnerability to bait adversaries. As attackers interact with the honeypots, their actions are meticulously logged, providing invaluable insights into their methodologies, tactics, and tools. Analysts diligently analyze these honeypot logs, decoding the intricate patterns of malicious behavior, and leveraging this intelligence to fortify the organization's defenses against real-world cyber threats.

Amidst the dynamic cybersecurity environment, what is the paramount objective of analyzing honeypot logs in cybersecurity operations?

- A. To meticulously identify, track, and understand the methodologies and strategies employed by attackers infiltrating the network.
- B. To monitor and evaluate the performance of the organization's security systems, optimizing defense mechanisms against cyber threats.
- C. To generate comprehensive compliance reports, ensuring adherence to regulatory standards and frameworks.
- D. To discern potential vulnerabilities within the organization's network infrastructure, facilitating proactive risk mitigation strategies.

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

The paramount objective of honeypot-log analysis is **to meticulously identify, track, and understand the methodologies and strategies employed by attackers infiltrating the network**. Honeypots are deliberately deployed as decoy services or hosts that appear valuable or vulnerable but are isolated from legitimate production activity. Consequently, interactions recorded in their logs provide especially useful evidence of hostile reconnaissance, authentication attempts, commands, downloaded tools, malware samples, lateral-movement behavior, and other attacker tactics, techniques, and procedures.

Kippo is an SSH honeypot designed to emulate a vulnerable SSH service and log an intruder's session activity. Reviewing its logs enables analysts to reconstruct what an attacker attempted after connecting, including credentials used, commands entered, files retrieved, and behaviors that may indicate automated attack campaigns or hands-on intrusion activity. This intelligence supports threat hunting, detection engineering, incident-response preparation, and the improvement of defensive controls based on observed adversary behavior. The central value is therefore attacker profiling and collection of actionable threat intelligence in a controlled environment, rather than routine operational measurement.

For background on Kippo's purpose and captured interaction data, see the [Kippo project repository](#). NIST also describes deception technologies as tools that can provide visibility into adversary activity in its [Cyber Resiliency Engineering guidance](#).

QUESTION NO: 19

During an internal audit following suspected misuse of privileged credentials at a technology services firm, investigators must review detailed activity records related to configuration changes, API calls, and access attempts made against cloud-hosted resources. The organization operates entirely within a single cloud provider's infrastructure, and the investigation requires a native service that records management-plane actions with precise timestamps, source addresses, and request parameters for later reconstruction of user activity. Which platform would investigators rely on to reconstruct this activity timeline?

- A. Azure Monitor Logs
- B. AWS CloudTrail
- C. Microsoft Sentinel
- D. Google Logs Explorer

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

AWS CloudTrail is the appropriate native AWS service for reconstructing this type of cloud activity timeline. CloudTrail records AWS account activity and API calls, supplying an audit trail of management-plane actions performed through the AWS Management Console, command-line tools, SDKs, and direct API requests. Its event records contain forensic details needed to establish who did what and when, including the authenticated identity, event time, source IP address, AWS service and API operation, request parameters, response elements, and associated resources. This information enables investigators to correlate configuration modifications, attempted or successful access activity, and privileged administrative actions into a defensible chronological sequence. CloudTrail Event history also provides a directly searchable recent management-event record, while trails can deliver logs to Amazon S3 for retention, preservation, and later analysis. For an investigation involving suspected credential misuse in AWS, preserving the CloudTrail records and associated S3 objects is central to documenting the scope and sequence of activity. AWS describes CloudTrail as a service for recording actions taken by users, roles, or AWS services and details the event fields available for investigation in its [AWS CloudTrail User Guide](#) and [CloudTrail record contents reference](#).

QUESTION NO: 20

During an insider data theft investigation at a software company in San Jose, California, a forensic examiner must select the most appropriate data acquisition format to ensure broad compatibility with analysis tools while avoiding compression and metadata overhead. What format should be chosen by the examiner?

- A. Raw format
- B. Proprietary format
- C. AFF format
- D. AFF4 format

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

Raw format is the appropriate choice because it stores an acquired disk or media image as a straightforward sector-by-sector copy, without a container structure that adds embedded case metadata, compression, or other format-specific features. This simplicity makes raw images highly portable and broadly compatible with forensic suites, carving utilities, hash tools, and operating-system-level analysis workflows. For an examiner who specifically needs interoperability while avoiding compression and metadata overhead, a raw image provides the most direct representation of the source evidence. The

examiner should still preserve acquisition documentation separately and calculate cryptographic hashes before and after acquisition to demonstrate that the image is an accurate, unchanged copy of the original media. NIST's forensic guidance describes the importance of collecting and preserving digital evidence in a manner that supports later examination and integrity validation; see [NIST SP 800-86, Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response](#). The National Institute of Standards and Technology's Computer Forensic Reference Data Sets project also recognizes raw disk images as a common forensic-image form used for testing and tool interoperability: [NIST CFReDS](#).

QUESTION NO: 21

Detective Patel, investigating a cross-border cybercrime, faces challenges in gathering evidence due to jurisdictional differences and the remote nature of the attack.

In the context of cross-border cybercrimes, what primary challenge does Detective Patel encounter in collecting evidence for prosecution?

- A. Navigate diverse legal frameworks for digital evidence across jurisdictions.
- B. Perform physical surveillance to track remote attackers across borders.
- C. Coordinate international raids simultaneously.
- D. Use advanced encryption for secure data transmission.

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

Navigate diverse legal frameworks for digital evidence across jurisdictions. is correct because obtaining and using digital evidence in a cross-border investigation depends on the laws and procedures of every relevant country. Evidence may be held by a foreign cloud provider, transit infrastructure in another state, or a suspect located outside the investigator's territorial authority. Investigators must establish the appropriate legal authority for requests, preservation, disclosure, seizure, transfer, and eventual courtroom use. Requirements concerning privacy, data-protection safeguards, compelled production, chain of custody, and evidentiary admissibility can differ substantially between jurisdictions.

International cooperation mechanisms are therefore central to lawful evidence collection. Depending on the countries involved, investigators may need to use mutual legal-assistance processes, cross-border production mechanisms, or direct cooperation procedures permitted by applicable treaties and domestic law. These processes require coordination with foreign authorities and service providers and can take time, which makes prompt preservation of volatile data especially important. The Council of Europe's Convention on Cybercrime addresses international cooperation and procedural powers for cybercrime evidence, while the U.S. Department of Justice describes mutual legal assistance as a mechanism for obtaining evidence abroad. See the [Council of Europe Budapest Convention](#) and the [U.S. DOJ MLAT information](#).

QUESTION NO: 22

During a forensic investigation into a suspected cyberattack, the investigator checks network logs that were collected during the period of the incident. The investigator's objective is to examine these logs to determine the exact sequence of events that took place, identify the source of the attack, and understand the nature of the incident. This analysis helps in uncovering what occurred, how it happened, and who was responsible for it.

Which of the following techniques is the investigator using in this case?

- A. The investigator performs eavesdropping on communications to intercept sensitive information.
- B. The investigator performs a postmortem analysis of system records to evaluate previous security breaches.
- C. The investigator conducts a real-time analysis of network traffic logs to detect the nature of the incident.
- D. The investigator carries out IP address spoofing to identify the source of the attack.

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

The investigator performs a postmortem analysis of system records to evaluate previous security breaches. This is the appropriate forensic technique because the investigator is examining network logs that were preserved from the incident period after the suspected attack occurred. Postmortem forensic analysis uses historical evidence—such as firewall, router, IDS/IPS, authentication, server, and application logs—to reconstruct a defensible timeline of events. Investigators correlate timestamps, source and destination addresses, ports, protocols, account activity, and recorded alerts to establish how the intrusion progressed and to identify evidence that may attribute activity to a source or responsible party.

This retrospective examination is central to incident reconstruction: it supports conclusions about what happened, when it happened, the systems affected, the attack path, and the relevant indicators of compromise. It also enables the investigator to preserve findings for reporting, remediation, and possible legal or disciplinary action. NIST describes forensic work as collecting and examining data from systems and networks to reconstruct events in its [Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response](#). NIST's incident-response guidance likewise recognizes analysis of collected event data as necessary for understanding and documenting incidents; see [Computer Security Incident Handling Guide](#).

QUESTION NO: 23

During a forensic investigation involving an Android device, the investigator needs to establish communication between the device and a computer running the Android Software Developer Kit (SDK). This communication will allow the investigator to access system files, logs, and other relevant data for analysis. To facilitate this, the investigator enables a specific Android developer feature on the device.

Which feature must be enabled to allow the device to communicate with the workstation running the Android SDK?

- A. The forensic investigator can enable USB restriction mode on the Android device connected to the external workstation.
- B. The investigator can turn on upgrade mode on the target device to be examined in the lab setup.
- C. The forensic investigator can trigger recovery mode on the device before connecting to the workstation.
- D. The investigator can activate USB debugging mode on the suspected device being analyzed.

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

The investigator can activate USB debugging mode on the suspected device being analyzed. USB debugging is the Android developer setting that permits an Android device to communicate with the Android Debug Bridge (ADB), a command-line component of the Android SDK installed on the forensic workstation. Once USB debugging is enabled and the device authorizes the workstation's RSA key, ADB can establish a controlled connection over USB. This connection supports forensic activities such as collecting device and application logs, querying system properties, listing installed packages, issuing approved shell commands, and performing logical acquisition where the device state and available permissions permit it.

In Android's developer documentation, USB debugging is specifically identified as the setting required for debugging an Android device through ADB. For forensic handling, an examiner should document the device's initial state, the action of enabling the setting, connection prompts and authorizations, commands issued, timestamps, and hashes of acquired output. These records help preserve transparency and repeatability while recognizing that enabling developer access can modify device state. See the [Android Debug Bridge documentation](#) and Android's guidance on [configuring developer options](#).

QUESTION NO: 24

A digital forensics team is investigating a cyberattack where multiple devices were compromised. Among the seized devices is an Android smartphone with evidence suggesting interaction with both Windows and Linux systems.

In Android and iOS forensic analysis, why is it important to analyze files associated with Windows and Linux devices?

- A. To confirm the operating system used on the compromised smartphone

- B. To identify the manufacturer of the Windows and Linux systems
- C. To establish a connection between different devices involved in the cyberattack
- D. To determine the brand and model of the Android smartphone

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

To establish a connection between different devices involved in the cyberattack is correct because mobile devices frequently retain artifacts of communications, synchronization, file exchange, remote administration, and account activity involving other endpoints. On Android or iOS, these can include application databases, transferred-file metadata, paired-device records, cloud-service traces, network connection details, timestamps, and credentials or configuration material associated with Windows or Linux hosts. Examining these artifacts enables the forensic team to correlate activity across systems rather than treating each device as an isolated source of evidence.

Cross-device correlation is especially important for reconstructing an incident timeline. Matching identifiers, filenames, hashes, IP addresses, user accounts, connection times, or synchronization events can demonstrate that a mobile device communicated with a particular workstation or server and can help establish the sequence through which data, commands, or malicious tools moved. This supports a defensible account of relationships among compromised assets and may reveal the scope of lateral movement or data exfiltration. NIST's guidance on integrating forensic techniques emphasizes collecting and correlating relevant evidence from systems and applications, while its incident-handling guidance highlights the value of analysis for determining incident scope and affected hosts. See [NIST SP 800-86](#) and [NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2](#).

QUESTION NO: 25

A multinational headquartered in Dallas, Texas is proactively building enterprise-wide capabilities, centralized collection workflows, tooling, skills development, and defined processes, so that its teams can support electronic discovery consistently across business units before any dispute arises. Which ISO/IEC 27050 part best aligns with this preparatory focus?

- A. ISO/IEC 27050-2
- B. ISO/IEC 27050-1
- C. ISO/IEC 27050-3
- D. ISO/IEC 27050-4

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

ISO/IEC 27050-4 is the best fit because its subject is technical readiness for electronic discovery. Technical readiness means establishing the sustainable organizational capability to perform eDiscovery effectively when a legal, regulatory, investigative, or internal matter later requires it. In this scenario, the organization is acting before a specific dispute arises and is investing in centralized collection workflows, appropriate tools, personnel skills, and defined, repeatable processes across business units. Those are precisely the foundations needed to make electronically stored information identifiable, collectable, preservable, and manageable in a consistent and defensible manner.

The standard addresses planning, preparation, and implementation considerations for eDiscovery technology and processes. This makes ISO/IEC 27050-4 especially applicable to an enterprise-wide program that must operate consistently across jurisdictions, departments, systems, and data sources. A readiness-focused program also supports timely response, reduces ad hoc collection practices, and helps ensure that technical procedures can be applied reliably when an eDiscovery obligation is triggered. ISO identifies this publication as *Information technology — Electronic discovery — Part 4: Technical readiness*; see the [ISO/IEC 27050-4:2021 catalogue entry](#) and the [ISO Online Browsing Platform record](#).

QUESTION NO: 26

As part of a forensic investigation into a suspected data breach at a corporate office, Detective Smith is tasked with gathering evidence from a seized hard drive. The detective aims to extract non-volatile data from the storage media in an unaltered manner to uncover any traces of unauthorized access or tampering. In Detective Smith's investigation of the corporate data breach, which data acquisition process involves extracting non-volatile data from the seized hard drive?

- A. Dynamic acquisition
- B. Dead acquisition
- C. Volatile acquisition
- D. Live acquisition

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

Dead acquisition is the appropriate process because it collects persistent, non-volatile evidence from storage media after the computer has been powered down. A seized hard drive contains data that remains available without electrical power, including files, filesystem structures and metadata, logs, unallocated space, slack space, and potentially recoverable deleted content. The core forensic objective is to preserve that evidence without changing the original device. Investigators therefore remove or access the drive in a controlled manner, connect it through a hardware or software write blocker, and create a forensic bit-stream image for verification and examination. Hash values are normally calculated for the original media and forensic image to demonstrate integrity throughout custody and analysis. This approach permits detailed investigation of suspected unauthorized access or tampering while keeping the original hard drive preserved as evidence. NIST's guidance on integrating forensic techniques explains the need to acquire and preserve media in a manner that maintains evidence integrity, while SWGDE guidance describes write blocking as a control intended to prevent writes to the source device during acquisition. See [NIST SP 800-86, Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response](#) and [SWGDE Best Practices for Computer Forensic Acquisitions](#).

QUESTION NO: 27

Henry, a forensic investigator, is analysing a system suspected of being compromised by a stealthy rootkit. The rootkit appears to be sophisticated, hiding its files and processes to avoid detection. Henry decides to conduct a memory and registry analysis to uncover the hidden rootkit. Which of the following tools would be the best choice for Henry's task?

- A. Volatility
- B. Reg Ripper
- C. Autopsy
- D. DumpIt

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

Volatility is the best choice because it is a memory-forensics framework designed to examine a captured memory image for artifacts that sophisticated malware and rootkits may conceal from normal operating-system views. Its analysis capabilities support investigation of process structures, loaded kernel modules and drivers, network artifacts, code injection indicators, and discrepancies that can expose hidden or manipulated processes. These capabilities are particularly valuable when a rootkit uses kernel-level techniques to evade ordinary file-system or process-listing tools.

Volatility also supports examination of Windows Registry data resident in memory. This allows an investigator to recover and inspect registry hives and evaluate configuration, persistence, service, and execution-related artifacts in the same forensic workflow as the memory analysis. That combination directly fits an investigation requiring both volatile-memory evidence and registry-oriented evidence rather than merely collecting an image for later analysis.

The Volatility Foundation documents Volatility as an open-source framework for extracting digital artifacts from volatile memory samples: [Volatility Foundation—The Volatility Framework](#). Its current documentation also details Windows analysis plugins, including plugins relevant to processes, drivers, and registry hives: [Volatility 3 Documentation](#).

QUESTION NO: 28

During an investigation of unauthorized access to a Linux server, an examiner finds a suspicious executable that has the set-user-ID permission bit set and is owned by the root account. The executable is located in a directory writable by ordinary users. The examiner needs to explain the security significance of this configuration.

What is the greatest forensic concern?

- A. The executable can run with effective root privileges
- B. The executable automatically encrypts user files
- C. The executable captures all network traffic
- D. The executable can only be run by the root account

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

The greatest concern is that an unprivileged user may execute the program with **effective root privileges**. A set-user-ID executable normally runs with the effective user ID of its owner. When the owner is root, execution can grant the process root-level authority. Locating such a program in a directory writable by ordinary users is especially dangerous because the executable could be replaced or modified to provide unauthorized privileged access.

A set-user-ID bit does not merely make the file executable by all users, and it is unrelated to network packet capture or encrypted storage. The examiner should preserve the file, record its permissions and ownership, calculate hashes, review directory permissions, and correlate the artifact with shell histories, authentication logs, and process-execution evidence.

QUESTION NO: 29

A cybersecurity analyst is tasked with investigating a series of network anomalies. They employ various event correlation approaches, including graph-based analysis to map system dependencies and neural network-based anomaly detection. Through rule-based correlation and vulnerability-based mapping, they pinpoint potential threats and prioritize response actions effectively.

Which event correlation approach involves constructing a graph with system components as nodes and their dependencies as edges?

- A. Rule-Based Approach
- B. Codebook-Based Approach
- C. Neural Network-Based Approach
- D. Graph-Based Approach

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

Graph-Based Approach is correct because it represents an environment as a graph: system entities and assets—such as hosts, applications, services, user accounts, and network devices—are modeled as nodes, while relationships between them are modeled as edges. Those edges can express dependencies, communications, trust relationships, data flows, or other connections relevant to an investigation. This structure enables an analyst to correlate events in their operational context instead of reviewing isolated alerts. For example, an investigator can trace how a suspicious process on one host relates to a dependent service, connected accounts, and reachable systems, helping reconstruct multi-stage activity and assess likely impact.

Graph analysis is particularly useful for understanding attack paths, lateral movement, and propagation through interconnected systems. Security graph implementations commonly use nodes and edges to expose relationships among assets, identities, permissions, vulnerabilities, and observed activity, supporting prioritization of response actions based on

connectivity and exposure. The node-edge dependency model stated in the question is therefore the defining characteristic of the **Graph-Based Approach**. For further background on graph data modeling, see [Neo4j Graph Database Concepts](#). For a security-focused example of attack-path analysis using relationships among entities, see [Microsoft Defender for Cloud attack path analysis](#).

QUESTION NO: 30

William, a forensic specialist, was assigned to investigate a system breach by extracting artifacts related to the Tor browser from a memory dump obtained from the victim 's machine. As part of the investigation, William analyzed the memory dump and discovered that it contained the maximum possible number of artifacts related to the Tor browser. William understood that to fully understand the extent of the evidence, he needed to identify which condition would result in the maximum number of artifacts being present in the memory dump. Which of the following conditions provided William with the maximum possible number of artifacts?

- A. Tor browser opened
- B. Tor browser uninstalled
- C. Tor browser installed
- D. Tor browser closed

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

Tor browser opened provides the maximum possible number of Tor-related artifacts in a live-memory acquisition because its processes are active and their working data remains resident in RAM. While the browser is running, memory can contain Tor Browser and Firefox process structures, loaded modules and DLLs, active network connections and socket information, DNS- or proxy-related data, process command-line details, browser-session information, URLs or page content, cached objects, encryption-related material, and fragments of user activity. The Tor client component also operates while the browser is open, increasing the amount of process and network evidence that can be correlated during examination.

Memory is volatile, so investigators should acquire it as soon as practical while relevant applications remain active. NIST's incident-handling guidance emphasizes collecting volatile evidence, including memory and active network information, before it disappears or is altered. This supports examining an acquired image with memory-forensics methods to identify active processes, connections, and application-resident artifacts. Tor Browser is designed to route browser traffic through the Tor network, meaning an active session can also leave useful runtime evidence of the browser and its associated Tor activity in memory. See [NIST SP 800-86, Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response](#) and the [Tor Browser support documentation](#).

QUESTION NO: 31

A forensic investigator is examining a data breach at a corporate organization involving unauthorized access to sensitive files. During the investigation, she carefully identifies relevant data, collects it without modifying the original source, preserves its integrity, documents each step of the process, and prepares the findings for potential legal proceedings. What fundamental objective of computer forensics is being applied in this investigation?

- A. To estimate the potential impact caused by the incident on the victim and determine the intent of the perpetrator
- B. To protect the organization from similar incidents in the future
- C. To track and prosecute the perpetrators of a cyber crime
- D. To gather evidence of cyber crimes in a forensically sound manner

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

To gather evidence of cyber crimes in a forensically sound manner is the fundamental computer-forensics objective demonstrated here. The investigator identifies potentially relevant digital artifacts, acquires them without unnecessarily altering the original source, protects their integrity, and records each handling and analytical action. Together, these practices make the resulting evidence authentic, reliable, repeatable, and suitable for review in a legal or disciplinary process. A forensically sound process generally includes preserving original evidence, working from verified copies where possible, maintaining integrity through mechanisms such as hashes, and maintaining complete documentation and chain-of-custody records. These controls allow an examiner to show what was collected, where it originated, how it was handled, and that its content was not improperly changed between acquisition and presentation. Preparing findings for possible proceedings is especially important because forensic work must produce defensible evidence rather than merely technical observations. This objective aligns with the evidence-collection and preservation focus of digital forensic practice described by the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the Scientific Working Group on Digital Evidence. See [NIST SP 800-86: Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response](#) and the [SWGDE published digital-evidence documents](#).

QUESTION NO: 32

A forensic investigator is performing an eDiscovery process within an organization, following the EDRM framework. The investigator focuses on narrowing down the volume of electronically stored information (ESI) by eliminating unnecessary data and converting it into a more manageable format that can be easily analyzed or examined. The investigator is ensuring that the data is prepared appropriately for the next phase in eDiscovery. Which EDRM stage is the investigator executing in the above scenario?

- A. The investigator is performing the analysis phase to interpret the data's significance.
- B. The investigator is executing the production phase to finalize the data for legal use.
- C. The investigator is conducting the review phase to assess the data.
- D. The investigator is handling the processing phase to streamline the data for easier handling.

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

The investigator is handling the processing phase to streamline the data for easier handling. In the Electronic Discovery Reference Model (EDRM), processing follows collection and prepares electronically stored information for review. Its purpose is to reduce and organize the collected data into a usable corpus while maintaining the information needed for later examination. Typical processing work includes extracting metadata and text, decompressing files, converting data into reviewable formats, deduplicating items, filtering by criteria such as date or file type, and indexing content for searching. These actions narrow the volume of ESI and make it technically accessible and manageable for the review stage, where people assess documents for relevance, privilege, confidentiality, and other legal considerations. The scenario specifically describes eliminating unnecessary material and transforming the remaining material into an analyzable format, which is the central function of processing rather than substantive examination of the evidence. EDRM identifies Processing as the step that reduces the volume of ESI and converts it into forms suitable for review and analysis. See the [EDRM Model](#) and the [NIST guidance on e-discovery and computer evidence](#) for further context on preparing digital evidence for examination.

QUESTION NO: 33

In a corporate setting, Bob, a software engineer, urgently needs to send an encrypted email containing sensitive project details to Alice, his project manager. Bob carefully composes the email using his corporate email client and clicks send. Little does he know that the corporate email server has been experiencing intermittent connectivity issues.

Amidst sending an urgent email, Bob encounters a delay due to connectivity issues with the corporate email server. At which stage of the email communication process does this delay likely occur?

- A. When decrypting the email message
- B. During the composition of the email
- C. During the transfer between MTA servers

D. While searching for Alice ' s email domain

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

During the transfer between MTA servers is correct because, after Bob sends the message, the corporate mail system's Mail Transfer Agent (MTA) is responsible for forwarding it using SMTP to the destination domain's receiving MTA, either directly or through a relay. Intermittent network connectivity at the corporate email server can prevent an SMTP session from being established or completed. Rather than discarding the message, an SMTP-compliant sending MTA normally places it in a mail queue and retries delivery later. This queuing and retry behavior is the practical cause of a delayed email when the sending mail server or its network path has temporary connectivity problems.

SMTP distinguishes message submission from message relay and delivery, but the server-to-server handoff is the stage most associated with outbound connection failures, temporary errors, and scheduled retransmission attempts. RFC 5321 specifies SMTP's role in transferring mail between hosts and explains that, when delivery cannot be completed immediately, the sender must retain responsibility for the message and retry it for a period of time. This makes MTA-to-MTA transfer the relevant point in the communication flow for the stated delay. See [RFC 5321: Simple Mail Transfer Protocol](#) and [RFC 5321 section on SMTP retry behavior](#).

QUESTION NO: 34

During a malware investigation at a tech firm in Miami, forensic analysts suspect that the attacker attempted to conceal activity by removing traces of previously executed programs on the compromised workstation. What source of evidence would best allow investigators to reconstruct execution activity and attempts to remove traces of prior programs?

- A. Openfiles command output
- B. Clipboard contents
- C. Hash values
- D. Prefetch files

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

Prefetch files are the best source of evidence because they are Windows execution artifacts that can help establish that a program was run, even when the executable or more obvious traces have subsequently been removed. On systems where Prefetch is enabled, Windows creates files in `C:\Windows\Prefetch` for many executed applications. These artifacts commonly contain the executable name, a last-run time, run-count information, and references to files and directories accessed during program startup. This information enables a forensic analyst to reconstruct portions of an execution timeline, identify potentially suspicious tools, and correlate a program's execution with other artifacts such as event logs, file-system metadata, and registry data. In a suspected anti-forensics scenario, Prefetch analysis is particularly valuable because the artifact may persist after an attacker deletes a malware binary or clears less durable evidence. Investigators should collect the Prefetch directory through a forensically sound acquisition process and parse the files with tooling that preserves and reports their timestamps and embedded execution metadata. Microsoft documents Prefetch as a Windows performance mechanism in its [Prefetcher documentation](#); practical forensic interpretation is also described by [SANS](#).

QUESTION NO: 35

During an endpoint investigation, a suspicious executable has been deleted from a Windows workstation. Investigators need to determine whether the executable consumed network resources under a particular user account during the days before the incident. They do not need to recover the executable itself.

Which artifact should investigators prioritize?

- A. Windows Prefetch files

- B. ShimCache AppCompatCache entries
- C. The SRUM database
- D. Windows thumbnail cache files

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

The System Resource Usage Monitor database, commonly called SRUM, is the most useful artifact because it retains historical application resource-usage information, including network-usage data associated with applications and user identifiers. This can help establish that an application used network resources even after the executable has been deleted. Prefetch can support evidence of execution, but it is not designed to provide the same historical network-consumption information. Firewall logs may show connections, but they often do not identify the responsible executable and user as directly as SRUM correlation can.

QUESTION NO: 36

During a cybercrime investigation at a financial institution in Seattle, the forensic team arrives to find a suspect server still operational with active user sessions. To ensure critical evidence like encryption keys and running processes is preserved before potential data loss, which data source should the team prioritize for immediate collection?

- A. Registers and cache
- B. Disk or other storage media
- C. Remote logging and monitoring data
- D. Archival media

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

Registers and cache is the correct priority because it represents the most volatile level of information on an active system. Processor registers and cache can change in fractions of a second as instructions execute, processes are scheduled, connections change, or the machine is powered down. Once that state is overwritten or lost, it generally cannot be reconstructed from a disk image or other persistent evidence source.

In a live-server incident, volatile collection follows the order-of-volatility principle: preserve the information most likely to disappear first, while carefully documenting every action taken. This priority supports preservation of transient execution context that may help establish what code was running and what the system was doing at the time of acquisition. It is also consistent with the broader need to acquire live-memory evidence promptly, because memory can contain active-process artifacts, session information, and potentially cryptographic material needed to access protected evidence. Collection should be performed using validated procedures and tools, with timestamps, handler actions, and integrity details recorded to preserve evidentiary reliability.

The National Institute of Standards and Technology describes the importance of collecting volatile data during live incident handling in its [Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response](#). Additional practical guidance on volatile-data collection is available from the [CISA incident response resources](#).

QUESTION NO: 37

Evelyn, a forensic investigator, is tasked with analyzing a Linux machine suspected of harboring malicious activity. She needs to examine open files and identify which processes are associated with those files. Which Volatility Framework plugin should Evelyn use to list the open files and their associated processes from a RAM image?

- A. linux.pslist
- B. linux.mount

- C. linux.lsof
- D. linux.malfind

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

linux.lsof is the appropriate Volatility Framework plugin because it is specifically designed to enumerate open file objects from a Linux memory image. Its name reflects the familiar Linux *ls* utility, meaning “list open files,” and the plugin reconstructs this relationship from kernel memory rather than relying on potentially altered live-system command output. The results provide process context alongside each recovered open file, including the process identifier and process name, the file descriptor, and the file path or associated object details when available. This makes the output directly useful for tracing which executable or service held a particular file, socket, device, or other file-backed object open at the time memory was acquired. In a malicious-activity investigation, that process-to-file correlation can help establish the scope and timing of suspicious activity, identify files accessed by an unknown process, and prioritize artifacts for further examination. Volatility 3 implements this capability in its Linux *ls* plugin; its source identifies the plugin as listing open files and shows that it enumerates processes and their file descriptors. See the [Volatility 3 linux.lsof plugin source](#) and the [Volatility 3 documentation](#).

QUESTION NO: 38

A digital forensics team is investigating a case involving the potential tampering of electronic evidence in a cybercrime investigation. In adherence to **ENFSI Best Practices for Forensic Examination of Digital Technology**, what would be their primary concern?

- A. Analyzing cyberattack origin via IP tracking.
- B. Employing advanced techniques for file recovery.
- C. Determining cybercriminal motive for evidence tampering.
- D. Verifying forensic imaging tools for accuracy.
- E. Preserving evidence integrity through documented chain of custody and hash verification.

ANSWER: E

Explanation:

Preserving and demonstrating the integrity of electronic evidence is the primary concern when tampering is suspected. The forensic team must be able to show that the evidence presented for examination is the same evidence that was acquired, that it has not been altered, and that every person, transfer, action, and storage condition affecting it is documented. In practice, this requires maintaining an unbroken chain of custody; using controlled acquisition and storage procedures; recording all examination activity; and calculating and retaining cryptographic hash values for original media and forensic images. Hash verification provides a repeatable technical means to demonstrate that the acquired image remains unchanged throughout handling and analysis. These controls protect evidential reliability and enable the examiner to account for the evidence’s provenance in court. ENFSI’s digital-forensics best-practice work emphasizes documented, repeatable procedures and preservation of evidence integrity across the forensic process. This principle also aligns with ISO/IEC 27037 guidance for identifying, collecting, acquiring, and preserving digital evidence. See the [ENFSI website](#) and [ISO/IEC 27037](#) for the relevant forensic-evidence preservation framework.

QUESTION NO: 39

A cybersecurity incident at a Boston-based healthcare provider forced the response team into action. They quickly assigned roles, prioritized critical systems for protection, notified executives, and began containing the threat. After removing the malicious code, they restored affected services and later conducted a lessons-learned review. Which structured approach best describes the complete method they are following?

- A. Overview of Incident Response Process Flow

- B. Preparation for IR
- C. Post-Incident Activities
- D. Eradication

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

Overview of Incident Response Process Flow is correct because the scenario describes a coordinated, end-to-end incident-handling lifecycle rather than a single response activity. The team establishes and uses assigned responsibilities, identifies critical assets, communicates with leadership, contains the active threat, removes malicious code, restores services, and performs a lessons-learned review. These activities collectively reflect the structured flow used to manage an incident from organizational readiness through technical response and subsequent improvement.

NIST frames incident response as a lifecycle involving preparation; detection and analysis; containment, eradication, and recovery; and post-incident activity. Although the exact presentation of phases can vary by organizational framework, the essential principle is a repeatable process that connects planning, response execution, recovery, and review. In a healthcare environment, that comprehensive approach is particularly important because service availability, patient safety, sensitive data, and executive communications may all require coordinated handling. The described actions therefore map to the overall incident response process flow addressed in CHFI incident-response material. See [NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2, Computer Security Incident Handling Guide](#) and [CISA Incident Response resources](#).

QUESTION NO: 40

During an after-hours investigation at a healthcare provider in Phoenix, Arizona, analysts review Security log entries for group membership changes to trace who initiated the privilege expansion and which account was actually added. Focusing on the event description fields without altering the original .evtx, which field specifically identifies the account that was added or removed during the group change?

- A. Target Account Name
- B. Caller User Name
- C. First line of the description
- D. Member ID

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

Member ID is the field that identifies the security principal whose membership changed. In Windows Security auditing events for group-management activity, this value is normally the member object's security identifier (SID). A SID is the durable Windows identifier for the account or object that was added to, or removed from, the group, allowing the examiner to identify the affected member even when a displayed account name is ambiguous, renamed, or unavailable. This directly supports a forensic distinction between the changed member and the group-management operation itself.

For example, Microsoft documents event 4728, which records a member being added to a security-enabled global group, with a Member section containing the member name and Member ID. The same structure is used for event 4729 when a member is removed. Analysts can preserve the original EVTX, parse its event XML or rendered description, and correlate the Member ID SID with account records or directory evidence to establish exactly which account's privileges changed. Microsoft's event-field documentation is available for [Event 4728](#) and [Event 4729](#).

QUESTION NO: 41

In a trade-secret investigation in Detroit, agents obtain judicial authorization to image a suspect 's home server. To ensure the search remains limited to what the court has approved, the warrant must clearly define its scope. Which warrant requirement provides this limitation?

- A. Specifies the place to be searched and the items to be seized
- B. Directs law enforcement to search for evidence under judicial order
- C. Establishes the duration for which the warrant remains valid
- D. Authorizes investigators to consult a service provider

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

Specifies the place to be searched and the items to be seized is correct because the Fourth Amendment's particularity requirement confines a warrant-authorized search to the location, property, and evidence that the issuing judge has specifically approved. In a digital-forensics matter, this is especially important because a home server can hold a vast mixture of personal, business, and unrelated files. The warrant should identify the premises or device to be searched and describe, as particularly as practical, the evidence sought—for example, records or files related to the alleged theft, acquisition, transfer, or retention of identified trade secrets during a defined relevant period. This judicially approved description establishes the lawful boundaries for acquisition and examination, helping investigators maintain an appropriate nexus between the suspected offense and the data collected. The particularity standard also supports forensic documentation: the examiner can record the warrant authority, device identifiers, acquisition method, and scope of responsive data when preserving evidence and chain of custody. The constitutional text requires warrants to “particularly describ[e] the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.” See the [Fourth Amendment, Constitution Annotated](#). For searches and seizures of electronically stored information, Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41 also addresses warrants for such data and permits seizure or copying for later review consistent with the warrant’s authority: [Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41](#).

QUESTION NO: 42

John, a system administrator at a growing e-commerce company, is tasked with configuring a RAID 5 array to support the company 's increasing data storage needs. He needs to set up the array using three hard drives, ensuring that the data is both protected and accessible in the event of a drive failure. While configuring the array, John needs to understand how the RAID 5 system handles data redundancy and how parity data is distributed across the drives. How is the parity data stored and distributed in RAID 5?

- A. Parity data is stored on one drive, with no redundancy.
- B. Parity data is distributed across all drives in the array.
- C. Parity data is mirrored across two drives.
- D. Parity data is stored on a dedicated parity drive.

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

Parity data is distributed across all drives in the array. RAID 5 uses block-level striping with distributed parity: both ordinary data blocks and parity blocks are written in stripes across every member disk, with the parity location rotating from one stripe to the next. Thus, no individual disk is permanently assigned as the sole parity disk. For an array of three drives, each stripe contains data blocks on two drives and a parity block on the remaining drive; the location of that parity block changes for subsequent stripes. This organization provides efficient capacity use while avoiding concentration of parity writes on one physical disk. RAID 5 can tolerate the failure of one member drive. During degraded operation, the RAID controller or software derives a missing block by using the surviving blocks and the parity calculation, allowing data access until the failed drive is replaced and the array is rebuilt. The minimum requirement of three disks in the scenario is therefore consistent with RAID 5. Understanding the stripe size, disk order, parity rotation, and controller metadata is important in forensic reconstruction, because these parameters are needed to reassemble the logical volume correctly. See the [NIST guide for forensic image acquisition of RAID systems](#) and [USENIX research on RAID-5 parity placement](#).

QUESTION NO: 43

During a forensic investigation into a suspected data breach, the eDiscovery team is tasked with collecting and preserving digital evidence from a compromised computer system. The team must deploy specialized tools to extract relevant data, such as emails, files, and system logs, from the machine. One team member is responsible for deploying these tools, configuring them for the specific needs of the investigation, and maintaining them throughout the entire data collection process. This individual ensures that the tools operate correctly and remain effective during the forensic analysis. Which of the following members of the eDiscovery team is responsible for this task?

- A. An eDiscovery attorney can support the deployment of essential tools for the eDiscovery team.
- B. Processing personnel can assist in the process of deploying the required tools for the eDiscovery team.
- C. Review personnel can aid in implementing the tools needed for the eDiscovery team.
- D. An eDiscovery software expert can help set up the necessary tools for the eDiscovery team.

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

An eDiscovery software expert can help set up the necessary tools for the eDiscovery team. This role is the best fit because the scenario centers on the technical lifecycle of the collection tooling: deploying it to the target system, configuring it to acquire the required evidence sources, validating that it operates as intended, and maintaining its effectiveness while collection is underway. These activities require specialist knowledge of eDiscovery and forensic software, acquisition methods, target-system considerations, output handling, and reliable operation. Proper configuration and validation are especially important because the collection process must preserve the integrity of emails, files, logs, and associated metadata so the resulting evidence can be examined and relied upon later. A software expert also supports repeatable collection by ensuring the selected tools and settings are suitable for the investigation's defined scope. This aligns with computer-forensics practice, in which investigators identify, collect, preserve, and examine digital evidence using appropriate technical procedures. The [EC-Council CHFI program overview](#) identifies digital-forensics skills as including evidence collection and analysis, while [NIST SP 800-86](#) describes the integration of forensic techniques into incident response, including the collection and examination of computer evidence.

QUESTION NO: 44

In a corporate setting, a Security Operations Center (SOC) is responsible for monitoring and protecting the organization's digital assets. Consider a situation where an organization is experiencing a series of suspicious network activities. The SOC team needs to identify the appropriate technology to detect and mitigate these potential threats effectively. Which technology should the SOC team primarily utilize to monitor and analyze security events in real time?

- A. Password Management Software
- B. Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) System
- C. Vulnerability Assessment Tool
- D. Data Loss Prevention (DLP) Solution

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) System is the appropriate primary technology because it gives a SOC a centralized capability to collect, normalize, correlate, search, and alert on security telemetry from across the enterprise. A SIEM ingests logs and events from sources such as firewalls, intrusion-detection and intrusion-prevention systems, endpoint security products, identity services, servers, applications, cloud services, and network devices. Correlation rules and analytics can identify related activity across these sources in near real time, allowing analysts to recognize indicators such as repeated authentication failures, anomalous privilege use, suspicious connections, malware alerts, or potential lateral movement. This centralized event view is essential when suspicious network activity must be investigated quickly, since it supports triage, timeline construction, alert prioritization, and retention of log evidence for incident response and forensic follow-up. NIST describes security continuous monitoring as maintaining ongoing awareness of information-security status, vulnerabilities, and threats; centralized monitoring and analysis of security events are core SOC functions. The SIEM capability directly supports that operational need by turning distributed event data into actionable alerts and investigation

context. See [NIST SP 800-137, Information Security Continuous Monitoring](#) and the [CISA Cyber Threats and Advisories](#) resources.

QUESTION NO: 45

Oliver, a skilled hacker, was hired by a competitor to gather confidential information from Sarah, a senior executive in a corporate organization. Sarah's email account, which contained sensitive business transactions and private financial data, was the target. Oliver attempted to gain unauthorized access to Sarah's email by trying to crack the password. He obtained a text file containing a large list of commonly used passwords, including some simple combinations that he believed Sarah might have used. Using this list, he methodically tested each combination against the login page until he successfully logged into Sarah's account and accessed her private information. Which of the following techniques was employed by Oliver in the above scenario?

- A. Keylogger attack
- B. Dictionary attack
- C. Brute-force attack
- D. Cryptanalytic attack

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

Dictionary attack is correct because Oliver used a prepared text file containing commonly used passwords and likely simple password combinations, then attempted those entries against Sarah's email-login interface. This is the defining method of a dictionary attack: password guessing based on a wordlist or dictionary of likely candidates rather than generating every possible character sequence. Such lists can include common passwords, words, names, dates, keyboard patterns, and known breached-password values. The attack may be conducted online, as in this scenario, where each candidate is submitted to a login page, or offline against password hashes obtained during an investigation or compromise. Forensic investigators can recognize this activity through repeated failed authentication events, attempts originating from a common source, and password values or wordlist artifacts found in recovered attacker tools. Understanding the technique helps establish the likely mechanism of unauthorized access and supports analysis of relevant authentication and web-server logs. Guidance on password attacks and defensive controls, including protection against commonly used passwords and online guessing attempts, is available from [NIST SP 800-63B](#). The [MITRE ATT&CK Password Guessing technique](#) also describes adversaries attempting likely passwords to authenticate to accounts.

QUESTION NO: 46

After examining a Windows 11 forensic image obtained during a cyber-espionage investigation, an examiner attempts to recover deleted data from a TRIM-enabled SSD. The analysis tool lists deleted filenames, but none of the underlying data can be reconstructed. What statement best explains this forensic limitation when file carving is attempted on such storage media?

- A. In TRIM-disabled SSDs, the investigator cannot perform file carving to recover lost data.
- B. When Autopsy is employed to perform file carving on an evidence file, it reconstructs all deleted data from the SSD.
- C. File carving in SSDs is different from HDDs since files deleted from TRIM enabled SSDs cannot be recovered.
- D. When a forensic investigator performs file carving on a TRIM-enabled SSD, the deleted data can still be recovered because the pointers remain.

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

File carving in SSDs is different from HDDs since files deleted from TRIM-enabled SSDs may no longer be recoverable. This reflects the fundamental difference between logical deletion and the physical behavior of solid-state storage. On an SSD, the

operating system can issue a TRIM command after blocks are no longer allocated to a file. TRIM informs the drive that those logical block addresses need not be preserved. The SSD controller may then process them through internal garbage collection, erasing or consolidating flash pages so they can be reused efficiently. Once that processing has occurred, the raw content that a carving tool would normally scan for file signatures, headers, footers, and contiguous data structures can be absent from the forensic image.

Deleted directory entries, filenames, timestamps, or other filesystem metadata can remain visible independently of the former file content. Therefore, seeing a deleted filename does not establish that its underlying clusters or pages still contain reconstructable data. Recovery is timing- and device-dependent: data may briefly persist before garbage collection, but an examiner cannot rely on it being available. This limitation is especially important when acquiring live SSD evidence because continued system activity can accelerate cleanup. Microsoft documents that retrim operations send TRIM notifications to supported storage, while NIST notes that flash-based media have storage behaviors that require media-specific sanitization and handling considerations. See [Microsoft defrag command documentation](#) and [NIST SP 800-88 Rev. 1](#).