

DUMPS ARENA

National Professional Practice Examination () Exam

APEGS NPPE

Version Demo

Total Demo Questions: 24

Total Premium Questions: 246

Buy Premium PDF

<https://dumpsarena.co>

sales@dumpsarena.co

sales@dumpsarena.co
dumpsarena.co

Topic Break Down

Topic	No. of Questions
Topic 1, Professionalism	26
Topic 2, Ethics	55
Topic 3, Professional Practice	62
Topic 4, Law for Professional Practice	52
Topic 5, Professional Law	2
Topic 6, Regulation of Members and Discipline Processes	49
Total	246

QUESTION NO: 1

Which of the following statements gives the least justification for engineering or geoscience professionals to be considered "professional"?

- A. They are able to Be self-employed and work directly with clients on a one-on-one basis.
- B. They have a direct responsibility to the public for the Quality of the work that they perform.
- C. They require specialized knowledge, based on scientific and scholarly principles. In order to perform their duties.
- D. They are regulated by provincial/territorial Acts that commit them to High standards of achievement and conduct.

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

"They are able to Be self-employed and work directly with clients on a one-on-one basis." gives the least justification because independent business arrangements are not a defining feature of a regulated profession. A professional may be self-employed, employed by a consulting firm, work in industry, government, academia, or another organization. The setting in which services are delivered therefore does not establish the distinguishing professional characteristics of engineering and geoscience practice.

Professional status is instead grounded in the specialized body of knowledge and judgment required to practise, the public-interest dimension of the work, and a system of statutory regulation, licensure, ethical obligations, and accountability. These elements are intended to ensure that individuals offering professional engineering or geoscience services are competent and responsible for work that can affect public safety, health, welfare, property, and the environment. Direct client contact may occur in professional practice, but it neither demonstrates the required competence nor creates the regulatory and ethical duties that make the practice a profession. Engineers Canada describes engineering as a profession founded on specialized knowledge and public responsibility; see [Engineers Canada: About Engineering](#). APEGS' regulatory role and its protection of the public are described at [APEGS](#).

QUESTION NO: 2

While reviewing construction documents, a building technologist working in Ontario comes across a design drawing that has been professionally authenticated/sealed. The technologist can be confident that the design drawing

- A. was prepared and/or approved by an Ontario licensed professional
- B. can be utilized without further scrutiny in all provinces/territories
- C. has been formally approved by the appropriate regulator
- D. can be re-used on any building site in Ontario

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

The statement "*was prepared and/or approved by an Ontario licensed professional*" is correct because professional authentication is the formal act by which a qualified, authorized practitioner identifies a document as one for which they accept professional responsibility. For engineering work in Ontario, the Professional Engineers Ontario framework requires a practitioner to authenticate final drawings, plans, specifications, reports, and other documents prepared by the practitioner or prepared under the practitioner's supervision and control. The professional seal, together with the required signature and date, communicates that the document has received the responsible professional's professional review and judgment for its intended purpose.

In a construction-document context, this authentication gives the building technologist a sound basis to treat the drawing as having been issued under the responsibility of a person authorized to practise in Ontario. This accountability is central to self-regulation: the licensed professional must practise competently, act within their area of expertise, and remain answerable to the regulator and public for the work authenticated. PEO describes authentication requirements in [Authentication of Professional Engineering Documents](#). The statutory basis for sealing engineering documents appears in Ontario Regulation 941, section 53, available through [e-Laws](#).

QUESTION NO: 3

A "dummy run" for commercial software is best described as

- A. sample testing performed by a non-expert user
- B. a full-scale duplication of the computation with different software, hardware, and inputs
- C. the application of analytical calculations of a simpler model and comparing them with the software's result
- D. a basic check on the program's computation, using nominal entries such as zeroes or ones, to get a known answer

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

The correct description is "a basic check on the program's computation, using nominal entries such as zeroes or ones, to get a known answer." A dummy run is an elementary verification activity in which a program is exercised with deliberately simple, controlled input values whose expected output can be determined in advance. Values such as zero, one, or other nominal data make it straightforward to trace the calculation and confirm that the program is carrying out its intended fundamental operations. The aim is not to establish every aspect of software performance, but to obtain early confidence that the computational logic, input handling, and basic execution path produce an expected, readily checkable result. This is consistent with the broader testing principle of comparing actual program behaviour with specified or expected results using selected test data. In professional practice, particularly where software supports engineering work, this type of simple check is a sensible initial component of verification before relying on more comprehensive validation, independent review, or testing under realistic operating conditions. The terminology and role of software testing are summarized by the [NIST Computer Security Resource Center glossary](#); NIST's [software verification and validation guidance](#) also describes the importance of establishing evidence that software performs as intended.

QUESTION NO: 4

It is prudent for self-employed, licensed geoscientists and engineers to hold professional liability insurance to help protect them against potential claims. Liabilities resulting from which of the following actions are not likely to be covered by professional liability insurance?

- A. Breach of contract
- B. Corruption or fraud
- C. Oversight or deficiency
- D. Misjudgment or misunderstanding

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

Corruption or fraud is the correct answer because professional liability insurance is intended to respond to civil claims arising from the performance of professional services, commonly including alleged negligence, errors, omissions, and failures to meet the applicable professional standard of care. Its purpose is to protect a licensed professional from the financial consequences of unintentional professional mistakes or alleged deficiencies in work performed for a client.

Corruption and fraud are fundamentally different: they involve intentional dishonest conduct rather than an accidental error in professional judgment or service delivery. Insurance policies generally exclude coverage for deliberate, dishonest, fraudulent, criminal, or malicious acts. Apart from policy exclusions, allowing insurance to indemnify an insured for intentional fraud would conflict with important public-policy principles. A claim may still require an insurer to assess facts and policy wording, but intentional fraud itself is not the type of professional risk that professional liability coverage is designed to insure.

This distinction is especially important for self-employed professionals, who should understand both the scope of their coverage and their continuing personal duties of honesty, integrity, and ethical practice. See APEGS information on [member insurance](#) and the Insurance Bureau of Canada's overview of [professional liability insurance](#).

QUESTION NO: 5

Directors and officers of a corporation cannot be held personally liable for

- A. an unsecured corporate debt
- B. fraudulent misrepresentation
- C. unpaid employee wages
- D. tax evasion

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

an unsecured corporate debt is the correct answer because a corporation has a legal identity separate from the people who direct and manage it. A debt incurred by the corporation is therefore ordinarily the corporation's obligation, payable from its own assets. Holding office as a director or officer, without more, does not make that individual a contracting party or guarantor of the corporation's ordinary unsecured borrowing or trade debt. This separation is central to incorporation: it permits the corporation to own property, enter contracts, and incur liabilities in its own name while limiting personal exposure for those acting through the corporate entity.

The conclusion depends on the debt truly being a corporate debt and on there being no separate basis for individual responsibility. For example, the individual may voluntarily sign a personal guarantee or enter the contract in a personal capacity; in either situation, liability arises from that separate undertaking rather than merely from being a director or officer. The statutory structure also reflects the distinction between corporate obligations and specific liabilities that legislation may expressly place on directors. For example, the federal [Canada Business Corporations Act](#) separately addresses directors' liability in defined circumstances. The corporate entity's capacity to incur obligations in its own name is set out in section 15 of the same [Act](#).

QUESTION NO: 6

A professional engineer is asked to give evidence in court concerning the cause of a structural failure. The engineer previously worked for one of the parties but has no involvement in the failed project. Counsel asks the engineer to describe the party's position as favourably as possible.

What is the engineer's primary duty when acting as an expert witness?

- A. Advance the retaining party's interpretation of the facts as strongly as possible.
- B. Provide an independent and objective opinion within the engineer's area of expertise.
- C. Avoid identifying limitations because they may weaken the retaining party's case.
- D. Adopt the opinion of the party's legal counsel where technical evidence is uncertain.

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

An expert witness's primary duty is to provide an independent, objective opinion that assists the court on matters within the witness's expertise. Although a party retains and pays the expert, the expert is not an advocate and must not tailor an opinion to achieve the retaining party's desired result.

The prior employment relationship should be disclosed if relevant to the court's assessment of independence. The engineer may explain assumptions, limitations, and areas of disagreement with other experts, but the opinion must remain fair, technically supported, and within the engineer's competence.

QUESTION NO: 7

Ms. Jane Alt and Mr. John Doe work for Beta Company, The position of vice-president of Beta is vacant and both Ms. Alt and Mr. Doe are candidates. Ms. Alt and Mr. Doe are equally qualified and have similar successful experience profiles In the company. Which of the following actions by the CEO of Beta can be considered as the least ethical reason to choose one of the candidates?

- A. The CEO chooses Mr. John Doe because he has good relationships with most of Beta's clients.
- B. The CEO chooses Mr John Doe because the vice-president position is traditionally held by a male.
- C. The CEO chooses Ms. Jane Alt because Beta does not have any women in the top management positions.
- D. The CEO chooses Ms. Jane Alt because ne thinks Mr. John Doe may leave the company soon as a result of his good contacts.

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

The CEO chooses Mr John Doe because the vice-president position is traditionally held by a male. This is the least ethical basis for a selection decision because it treats gender, rather than job-related merit, performance, or legitimate organizational needs, as the deciding factor. A professional workplace decision should respect the equal dignity of candidates and be grounded in relevant, demonstrable criteria such as competence, experience, leadership ability, and the requirements of the role. Invoking a historical pattern of male occupancy does not establish a legitimate qualification for the position; it merely perpetuates a gender-based assumption about who is suitable to lead. This conflicts with the professional expectation that engineers and geoscientists act fairly, objectively, and with respect for persons in employment-related matters. It also engages Saskatchewan human-rights principles, which protect individuals from discrimination in employment on the basis of sex. Ethical leadership requires decision-makers to avoid both overt discrimination and reliance on stereotypes that can unfairly limit opportunity. The relevant standards are reflected in APEGS's [Code of Ethics](#) and the employment protections in [The Saskatchewan Human Rights Code](#).

QUESTION NO: 8

A person would be committing Insider trading if the person

- A. gave professional advice to company insiders
- B. knowingly traded based on material Information undisclosed to the public
- C. ware an executive purchasing shares in the company that the parson worked for
- D. sold shares in a company following a news release, knowing the value of the shares would fall

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

The correct answer is *knowingly traded based on material Information undisclosed to the public*. Insider trading arises when a person who has knowledge of a material fact or material change that has not been generally disclosed uses that non-public information to trade in the issuer's securities. Information is material when it could reasonably be expected to have a significant effect on the market price or value of a security, or when a reasonable investor would consider it important in making an investment decision. The central concern is the misuse of an informational advantage unavailable to the investing public, which undermines fair and transparent capital markets. The prohibition can apply not only to corporate directors and officers, but also to employees, advisers, and other persons who learn undisclosed material information through a special relationship with the issuer. Saskatchewan's [The Securities Act, 1988](#) contains the relevant restrictions on trading with knowledge of material facts or changes that have not been generally disclosed. The Canadian Securities Administrators also explain the importance of timely disclosure and maintaining fair access to material information in its [issuer disclosure guidance](#).

QUESTION NO: 9

Which of the following steps is **not** part of a typical professional disciplinary process in Canada?

- A. Gather evidence
- B. Host a discipline hearing
- C. Investigate and review the complaint
- D. Review professional development activities

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

Review professional development activities is the step that is not ordinarily part of the professional discipline pathway. Discipline is a public-protection process that begins when a concern or complaint about a member's professional conduct, competence, or compliance is received. The regulator assesses the matter, investigates where appropriate, obtains relevant records and other evidence, and may refer substantiated allegations to a formal discipline hearing. A hearing body then determines whether professional misconduct, unprofessional conduct, incompetence, or another breach has been established and, if so, may impose an appropriate sanction or remedial measure.

Professional-development review serves a different regulatory purpose: ongoing competence assurance. Regulators may require members to complete and report continuing professional development, and they may audit records to confirm compliance with those requirements. This is generally an administrative competence-maintenance activity rather than a standard procedural stage in resolving a complaint. Although a discipline decision can require education, mentoring, or further training as a remedy, that possible outcome does not convert routine CPD review into a typical disciplinary-process step. APEGS distinguishes its public-protection complaint and discipline functions from its continuing professional development requirements; see [APEGS Complaints and Discipline](#) and [APEGS Continuing Professional Development](#).

QUESTION NO: 10

Which of the following circumstances is most likely to trigger a practice/assurance review of a professional's overall practice by a regulator?

- A. The professional's failure to meet the financial targets of their employer
- B. Persistent complaints from clients regarding the professional's quality of work
- C. The professional not attending formal courses or expanding their knowledge base
- D. Allegations that the professional is unwilling to provide services to a certain client

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

Persistent complaints from clients regarding the professional's quality of work is the strongest indication that a regulator may need to examine the professional's practice more broadly. A practice or quality-assurance review is a preventive, public-interest process used to assess whether a professional's work is being performed competently, ethically, and in accordance with applicable professional standards. Repeated concerns about work quality can point to practice-wide issues rather than a single isolated incident. For example, a review may consider the adequacy of technical methods, records, quality-control procedures, checking and supervision, the use of professional judgment, and whether the professional is practising within their area of competence. The purpose is to identify whether improvements, remediation, or further regulatory action may be necessary to protect clients, employers, the public, and the environment. APEGS' statutory role includes regulating the practices of engineering and geoscience in the public interest, and its governing framework provides for the investigation and handling of concerns about professional practice. See APEGS' [legislation and regulatory framework](#) and its [practice advice resources](#).

QUESTION NO: 11

A licensed professional completes a design review for an industrial facility and authenticates the final drawings. Before construction begins, the professional learns that a recently issued interpretation by the authority having jurisdiction applies to the project and identifies a fire-separation requirement that the drawings do not meet.

What is the most appropriate action for the professional to take?

- A. Advise the client and issue revised documents addressing the applicable fire-separation requirement.
- B. Allow construction to proceed because the drawings were correct when they were authenticated.
- C. Ask the contractor to make any field changes considered necessary without revising the documents.
- D. Wait until the authority having jurisdiction rejects the work before taking any action.

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

The professional should promptly advise the client and the authority having jurisdiction, where appropriate, and issue revised documents that address the requirement. Authentication signifies that the professional accepts responsibility for the work; it does not end the obligation to correct a known deficiency discovered before construction.

Because the interpretation concerns fire separation, the issue may affect public safety and regulatory compliance. Waiting for construction to reveal the problem, or relying on the contractor to resolve it without professional direction, would be inconsistent with the duty to exercise due care and hold public safety paramount. The professional should document the issue, assess the necessary revisions, and communicate the implications of the correction clearly.

QUESTION NO: 12

All of the following duties are duties of professional engineers and geoscientists to society except the duty to ensure that their work will.

- A. promote the health and safety of the public
- B. achieve maximum benefits for society at large
- C. achieve maximum returns on Investment for their employer
- D. promote the protection of the environment through socially acceptable means

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

“achieve maximum returns on Investment for their employer” is the exception because maximizing an employer’s financial return is not a professional engineer’s or geoscientist’s duty to society. An employer may legitimately pursue financial objectives, and professionals can support those objectives where doing so is consistent with their professional obligations. However, the professional’s societal role is defined by an overriding responsibility to act in the public interest. This role requires independent judgment and means that commercial considerations cannot become the standard by which societal duty is measured. The APEGS Code of Ethics requires members to hold paramount the safety, health, and welfare of the public and to have proper regard for the environment. It also requires professional members to act with integrity, competence, and fairness in carrying out their work. Accordingly, a professional’s work must be guided first by public welfare and responsible professional practice, rather than by an objective of obtaining the greatest possible financial benefit for an employer. This distinction is fundamental to self-regulation: the public can rely on professional advice because it is not subordinated to private economic interests. See the [APEGS Code of Ethics](#) and the [Engineering and Geoscience Professions Act](#).

QUESTION NO: 13

For those professional regulators that assess the accuracy and integrity of annual continuing professional development (CPD) reports, which of the following statements is correct?

- A. A small, random sample of CPD reports submitted by professionals is selected to be reviewed/audited annually.
- B. All CPD reports are considered valid given they are prepared and put forward by professional members under oath.
- C. All CPD reports of practicing members are reviewed and assessed by the regulator with feedback provided to each professional.
- D. If a non-practicing member wishes to resume practice, all historical CPD reports must be reviewed and verified prior to reinstatement.

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

A small, random sample of CPD reports submitted by professionals is selected to be reviewed/audited annually. This reflects the practical, risk-managed oversight model used for professional continuing competence programs. Members are responsible for completing and accurately reporting qualifying learning and professional-development activities, while the regulator retains the ability to test the reliability of those declarations through audit. A random selection process promotes broad compliance because every reporting member may be selected, yet it avoids the unreasonable administrative burden of conducting a detailed assessment of every report each year.

For APEGS members, continuing professional development is administered through the Continuing Professional Excellence program. Its purpose is to support and demonstrate maintenance of competence throughout practice, consistent with the professional duty to protect the public. Audit activity is an important accountability mechanism: a selected member may be asked to provide records supporting the activities and hours reported. Accordingly, annual random review is the appropriate statement where a regulator assesses the accuracy and integrity of CPD reporting. See APEGS' [Continuing Professional Excellence information](#) and its [regulatory documents](#) for the governing professional-practice framework.

QUESTION NO: 14

Sal and Wang are licensed professionals who work for the same company. When Sal leaves the company for another opportunity, their supervisor asks Wang to create drawings of a structural weldment that Sal designed. While creating the drawings, Wang notices that there are no stress calculations in the project folder that verify the safety of the Resign. This is out of the ordinary because it is standard practice to include all relevant calculations in the project folder.

Which of the following actions would be best for Wang to take?

- A. Add a similar project's calculations to the folder and complete the drawings.
- B. Refuse to create the drawings on the basis that the design lacks critical safety calculations.
- C. Assume that Sal was diligent in the design and create the drawings without these calculations.
- D. Point out the lack of stress calculations to the supervisor and ask for extra time to review the design.

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

"Point out the lack of stress calculations to the supervisor and ask for extra time to review the design" is the appropriate professional response. Structural-weldment drawings form part of work that may be relied upon for fabrication and safe use. Before issuing or completing drawings, Wang must have a reasonable basis to be satisfied that the underlying design has been adequately checked and that it meets applicable safety requirements. The absence of the usual supporting calculations is a material gap in the project record, particularly where those calculations are needed to demonstrate the capacity and integrity of a structural component.

Raising the concern promptly with the supervisor is responsible communication and gives the firm an opportunity to locate the calculations, have the design independently reviewed, or arrange for appropriate calculations to be completed. Requesting sufficient time to review the design also recognizes that Wang must practise within the limits of their knowledge and exercise independent professional judgment rather than merely relying on the previous professional's presumed diligence. This approach protects public safety, preserves an adequate engineering record, and supports proper professional

accountability for the final documents. These duties are consistent with the public-interest and competence obligations under Saskatchewan's [Engineering and Geoscience Professions Act](#) and APEGS's [legislative and regulatory framework](#).

QUESTION NO: 15

What is the primary role of the regulator in conducting a practice/assurance review of a professional?

- A. To help the professional address technical challenges and improve business development
- B. To assess the professional's compliance with ethical, technical, and safety obligations
- C. To hold the professional accountable for any errors or omissions found in their work
- D. To provide technical guidance and support to the professional

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

To assess the professional's compliance with ethical, technical, and safety obligations is correct because practice or assurance review is a regulatory quality-assurance activity directed at protecting the public interest. A regulator has a statutory mandate to govern the profession and to promote competent, ethical, and safe professional practice. A review therefore examines whether a professional's work and practice-management processes support those duties. Depending on the applicable program, this can include review of records, quality-management systems, supervision and checking procedures, appropriate use of professional authentication, continuing competence, and application of relevant standards and codes of ethics.

The review's central function is to provide an objective assessment of whether the professional's practice is meeting the obligations associated with licensure and the potential public consequences of professional work. Findings can support corrective action, follow-up, or improvements to practice systems, helping identify and manage risks before they result in harm. This preventive, public-protection purpose aligns with the regulatory framework governing engineering and geoscience professions in Saskatchewan and with APEGS's role in regulating members and permit holders. See the [APEGS website](#) and [The Engineering and Geoscience Professions Act on CanLII](#).

QUESTION NO: 16

The main reason that a professional should not guarantee the work of another party is that

- A. the other party may sue the professional for bankability
- B. the other party might have similar expectations from the professional
- C. it is difficult to ensure that the other party's work is within the standard
- D. the professional might be expected to make payments to the other party

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

The correct answer is *"it is difficult to ensure that the other party's work is within the standard."* A professional's duty is grounded in the standard of care: they must provide services with the degree of skill, knowledge, diligence, and judgment reasonably expected of a competent professional in the circumstances. Giving a guarantee for work performed by someone else represents an assurance that the work meets the applicable professional, technical, contractual, or regulatory requirements. That assurance cannot be responsibly made unless the professional has sufficient involvement, authority, information, and competent review of the work to form and support their own professional judgment.

Professional accountability is personal and cannot simply be transferred through reliance on another person's work. If a professional endorses, seals, or otherwise guarantees material prepared by another party, they must have performed an appropriate review and accept responsibility for that decision. The central concern is therefore whether the professional can verify that the work satisfies the required standard, rather than merely trusting its author or making a commercial

commitment. This principle helps protect clients, the public, and the integrity of professional practice by ensuring that representations of professional assurance are supported by genuine competence and oversight. APEGS' requirements concerning professional practice and authentication reflect this responsibility: [APEGS Practice Resources](#). See also the [APEGS legislation and bylaws](#).

QUESTION NO: 17

To prevent whistleblowing scandals from occurring, employers should not

- A. enable employees to disclose issues within file company
- B. encourage transparency for investigating issues
- C. protect employees who bring issue; forward
- D. prevent employees from disclosing Issues

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

“prevent employees from disclosing Issues” is the appropriate completion because an employer that blocks internal disclosure removes an essential early-warning mechanism for unsafe, unethical, illegal, or professionally improper conduct. In professional practice, engineers and geoscientists have duties that extend beyond organizational loyalty: protection of the public, the environment, and the profession requires that significant concerns can be raised and addressed before they escalate into external exposure, harm, or a loss of public confidence. A workplace in which people can report concerns in good faith, without intimidation or retaliation, gives management the opportunity to investigate facts, correct deficiencies, preserve records, and implement effective controls. This is consistent with the ethical expectation that professionals act with integrity and place public welfare first. It also supports the governance purpose of whistleblowing policies: ensuring that concerns are heard through credible internal channels rather than concealed. Preventing disclosure would undermine those channels and can allow serious issues to persist until they become a public scandal. The professional basis for this approach is reflected in APEGS's governing ethical framework and Engineers Canada's guidance on professional ethical duties. See the [APEGS Bylaws and Code of Ethics](#) and [Engineers Canada's Public Guideline on the Code of Ethics](#).

QUESTION NO: 18

Mac, a professional member, is confronted with a complex ethical problem. He decides to evaluate the benefits and costs of alternative solutions.

Mac is applying which of the steps of the design process for solving complex ethical problems?

- A. Analysis
- B. Synthesis
- C. Optimization
- D. Problem definition

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

Optimization is the applicable step because Mac is comparing alternative solutions according to their anticipated benefits and costs in order to identify the most appropriate course of action. In the design-process approach to complex ethical decisions, optimization follows the development of possible solutions and involves evaluating the consequences, trade-offs, risks, and advantages associated with each feasible alternative. The objective is not merely to generate options, but to select the option that best satisfies the relevant ethical obligations, public-interest considerations, professional duties, and practical constraints.

For a professional member, this evaluation must be grounded in the paramount duty to protect public safety, health, and welfare, while also considering impacts on clients, employers, colleagues, the environment, and the profession. A benefits-and-costs assessment is therefore a structured decision-making activity: it weighs competing outcomes and supports a defensible recommendation rather than simply describing the issue or brainstorming possible responses. This is consistent with the ethical responsibilities set by APEGS and with the general professional expectation that members exercise competent, objective judgment in the public interest. See the [APEGS Code of Ethics](#) and APEGS's [Acts, Bylaws and Policies](#).

QUESTION NO: 19

A Canadian mining company (the “client”) hires a licensed professional geoscientist as a consultant to assess a site's economic development potential. During the assessment, the professional identifies significant environmental risks associated with the development. What should be the professional's primary responsibility in this situation?

- A. Ensure that the company's mining development does not proceed due to the associated environmental risks.
- B. Prioritize the economic potential of the development in order to satisfy their contractual obligations to the client.
- C. Disclose the environmental concerns to the client and recommend extraction methods that mitigate the risk of occurrence.
- D. Ensure that the site's economic potential is realized in order to meet the resource needs of the public and the professional's duty to client.

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

“Disclose the environmental concerns to the client and recommend extraction methods that mitigate the risk of occurrence” is the best answer because it combines the professional geoscientist's duty to provide competent, candid advice to the client with the overriding obligation to protect the public interest and the environment. A site assessment is not limited to identifying economic opportunity; it must also communicate material technical findings that affect whether and how development can responsibly proceed. Significant environmental risks are plainly material findings. The professional should document them clearly, explain their likely implications and uncertainties, and recommend technically sound controls, alternative extraction approaches, monitoring, or other mitigation measures appropriate to the project. This allows the client and relevant decision-makers to make informed decisions while ensuring the professional's advice reflects environmental stewardship rather than commercial interests alone.

APEGS' ethical framework requires licence holders to hold paramount the safety, health, and welfare of the public and the protection of the environment, while acting faithfully and honestly for clients. The Canadian mining context also commonly requires environmental effects to be identified and addressed through regulatory assessment and mitigation processes. See the [APEGS Code of Ethics](#) and the Government of Canada's [environmental assessment overview](#).

QUESTION NO: 20

In a situation in which a professional engineering corporation defaults on a large debt, creditors can recover their loss

- A. from the liability insurance policies held by the corporation's engineers
- B. by seizing personal assets of the corporation's executives
- C. through the enforced seizure of the corporation's assets
- D. through the relevant engineering regulator

ANSWER: C

Explanation:

through the enforced seizure of the corporation's assets is correct because an incorporated engineering practice is a legal person distinct from its shareholders, directors, officers, and employees. A debt incurred by the professional

engineering corporation is therefore ordinarily an obligation of that corporation. If it defaults, a creditor may pursue ordinary civil enforcement remedies against property that belongs to the corporation, subject to court procedure, security interests, priority rules, and insolvency law. Depending on the circumstances, this can include obtaining judgment, enforcing against corporate bank accounts or other exigible property, or making a claim in a formal insolvency process.

The corporate form is important in professional practice because it permits the business to own assets, enter contracts, and assume commercial obligations in its own name. Its separate legal status does not disappear merely because it is a professional engineering corporation. Saskatchewan's corporate legislation establishes the framework under which a corporation has the capacity and powers of a natural person, while APEGS legislation and regulatory requirements govern the authorization and professional practice aspects of engineering corporations. The proper source for satisfying a corporate debt is consequently the corporation's own asset base through lawful enforcement processes. See the [Saskatchewan Business Corporations Act](#) and [APEGS legislation information](#).

QUESTION NO: 21

Which of the following statements about a licensed professional's seal/stamp is not correct?

- A. it indicates that the person applying the seal/stamp assumes professional responsibility and is accountable for the document.
- B. It Indicates the person applying the seal/stamp fully understands and agrees with the document's contents and findings.
- C. It Indicates that the person applying the seal/stamp is confident in the accuracy of the document.
- D. It Indicates that the person applying the seal/stamp guarantees the accuracy of the document.

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

"It Indicates that the person applying the seal/stamp guarantees the accuracy of the document." is the statement that is not correct. Authentication with a professional seal or stamp is a formal indication that the licensed professional accepts professional responsibility and accountability for the work to which it is applied. It represents the professional's judgment, exercised within the scope of their competence, that the document has been prepared or reviewed in accordance with the applicable professional requirements and standards of practice. This responsibility is significant: a professional who authenticates a document may be required to account for the professional decisions embodied in it.

However, engineering and geoscience work necessarily involves professional judgment, assumptions, available information, design criteria, and conditions that may change or be discovered later. For that reason, authentication is not an absolute warranty or guarantee that a document is error-free or that every result will be accurate in all future circumstances. The legal and professional framework instead imposes a duty of competence, care, and accountability. APEGS regulates this duty under Saskatchewan's engineering and geoscience legislation and its practice requirements. See the [Engineering and Geoscience Professions Act on CanLII](#) and APEGS' [Practice Resources](#).

QUESTION NO: 22

A certain licensed professional consultant provides services to the public. In order to reduce the risk of litigation by the client, the most important thing for the professional to do is to ensure

- A. that they have a detailed, written contract with every client
- B. they are registered as a professional member with their regulator
- C. that they are protected with a comprehensive, all-risk insurance policy
- D. they only accept contracts in competency areas where they are a subject matter expert

ANSWER: A

Explanation:

The correct answer is “that they have a detailed, written contract with every client.” A clear written agreement is the principal risk-management foundation for a professional consulting engagement because it establishes a shared, contemporaneous record of what the professional has agreed to provide. It should identify the parties, define the scope and limits of services, state deliverables and project assumptions, allocate client and consultant responsibilities, address fees and changes in scope, and set out relevant terms for reliance on documents or reports. Clear documentation helps ensure that the client’s expectations align with the actual professional undertaking. It also provides an objective record if a disagreement later arises about whether a service, deliverable, timeline, or responsibility was included in the engagement. This is particularly important where a project evolves: significant scope changes should be documented in writing so that the agreement remains accurate. Professional practice obligations arise within Saskatchewan’s statutory and regulatory framework, while sound consulting practice requires defining the service relationship carefully at the outset. See APEGS’ [Engineering and Geoscience Professions Act resources](#) and its [practice guidelines resources](#).

QUESTION NO: 23

When requested by senior management to meet an Imminent deadline, a licensed professional can promptly authenticate a final professional work product prepared by

- A. a busted senior licensed professional who is unavailable until after the deadline
- B. the professional's technical manager who assumes full responsibility for the work
- C. an Individual reporting directly to the professional whose previous work has been Impeccable
- D. an individual whose work was prepared under the licensed professional's direct supervision and control

ANSWER: D

Explanation:

The correct answer is “*an individual whose work was prepared under the licensed professional's direct supervision and control.*” Authentication communicates that the licensed professional accepts professional responsibility for the final work product. That responsibility can be properly assumed where the professional has exercised direct supervision and control throughout the preparation of the work: they must have sufficient knowledge of the relevant work, authority to direct and review it, and an opportunity to ensure that the completed product meets applicable technical, legal, public-safety, and professional requirements. A compressed schedule or a request from senior management does not displace that professional duty. Direct supervision and control provides the necessary basis for the professional to confidently authenticate the final document because the work has been developed within a process for which the professional was responsible and able to verify its adequacy before release. This aligns with APEGS’ framework that members and licensees practise competently, take responsibility for professional work, and protect the public interest. Authentication is therefore not merely an administrative approval; it is the professional's confirmation of responsibility for the work product. See the [APEGS Bylaws](#) and APEGS’ [Practice Resources](#) for its professional-practice and authentication guidance.

QUESTION NO: 24

Which of the following rights is **not** one of the fundamental rights of workers defined by Canadian OH&S legislation?

- A. The right to refuse dangerous work
- B. The right to sue the employer for workplace injuries
- C. The right to participate in the prevention of occupational accidents
- D. The right to be informed of known health hazards in the workplace

ANSWER: B

Explanation:

The right to sue the employer for workplace injuries is not one of the three fundamental worker rights recognized in Canadian occupational health and safety frameworks. Those core rights are commonly described as the right to know about workplace

hazards, the right to participate in health and safety activities and decisions, and the right to refuse dangerous or unsafe work without reprisal, subject to the applicable provincial or territorial legislation. These rights are preventative: they are intended to give workers the information, voice, and authority needed to help identify and control hazards before an injury occurs.

Compensation for workplace injury follows a separate statutory system. In Saskatchewan, workers' compensation is generally a no-fault insurance scheme that provides benefits for work-related injuries and illnesses. In exchange, the legislation generally removes or limits the ordinary right of an injured worker to sue an employer or a co-worker covered by the workers' compensation system. This legal arrangement is distinct from a worker's occupational health and safety rights. The Government of Canada summarizes the three workplace health-and-safety rights at [Canada.ca](https://www.canada.ca), and Saskatchewan's workers' compensation framework is described by the [Workers' Compensation Board of Saskatchewan](#).